Clerk's Files



Corporate Report

Originator's Files CD.21.SHA

PDC NOV 14 2011

DATE:	October 25, 2011
то:	Chair and Members of Planning and Development Committee Meeting Date: November 14, 2011
FROM:	Edward R. Sajecki Commissioner of Planning and Building
SUBJECT:	Revised Standards For Shadow Studies

RECOMMENDATION:	1.	That the Report entitled "Revised Standards For Shadow Studies", dated October 25, 2011, and the accompanying document entitled, Standards For Shadow Studies, August 2011, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building, be received for information.
	2.	That shadow studies for all development applications that require an analysis of their shadow impact be prepared in accordance to the document entitled, "Standards For Shadow Studies", August 2011.
	3.	That staff report back to Planning and Development Committee in one (1) year on the effectiveness of the revised Standards For Shadow Studies, August 2011 in the review of development applications.
BACKGROUND:	spe to r ent	Bouwmeester and Associates, a firm of sun and shadow cialists, was retained by the Planning and Building Department, eview and augment the City's existing Design Reference Notes itled "Standards for Shadow Studies". The purpose of the ision was as follows:

• to properly align the existing standards with the objectives of the Strategic Plan by addressing sun access within the public realm and pedestrian areas;

- 2 -

• to address the sun access challenges that have arisen as the City shifts focus from the development of green fields to intensification and infill development.

The review process culminated in a set of revised Standards For Shadow Studies with clear, measurable and implementable sun access goals. These goals address the City's vision for pedestrian comfort and sun access within the public realm and on private properties in the context of an increasingly more compact pattern of development.

Strategic Plan

Developing a Transit Oriented City and Building Complete Neighbourhoods, two of the five strategic pillars for change identified in the Strategic Plan, rely heavily on the development of an attractive and comfortable public realm. Appropriate sun access within the public realm and open spaces contributes to the development of enjoyable and walkable communities and promotes outdoor and pedestrian activity which in turn supports transit use, the use of open spaces and public health objectives.

Mississauga Plan

According to Mississauga Plan Policy 3.13.6.18, development proposals may be required to submit micro-climate studies to demonstrate how negative impacts on the public streets, public parkland, pedestrian environments and adjacent residential areas have been ameliorated with regard to the following environmental elements:

- a. sun;
- b. wind;
- c. noise;
- d. light;
- e. odour.

New Mississauga Official Plan

Item 19.4.7 of the New Mississauga Official Plan states that proposals for buildings higher than three storeys should be designed to minimize overlook conditions; obstructions of grade level vistas and overshadowing of any adjacent properties. In this regard, sun and shadow studies, view studies and micro-climatic studies may be required to determine the impacts of the proposal. For the purpose of this policy, the above-noted studies generally would not be required for adjacent lands used for industrial purposes.

Both the Strategic Plan and Mississauga Plan lay emphasis on the quality of the public realm. Apart from references to parks, the existing standards for shadow studies do not include any protection for sun access and pedestrian comfort within the public realm. It has also been the observation of staff that the criteria included in the existing standards do not adequately protect for the amounts of sun exposure desirable for specific uses at specific times and as a result, staff have had to borrow the standards of other municipalities in order to augment the existing standards and still meet the requirements of the existing standards.

COMMENTS: The project scope upon which the revision was based, included the following:

- Review the sun and shade implications of the current Standards for Shadow Studies;
- Review and compare Mississauga practices to those of other jurisdictions namely, City of Toronto, City of New York, City of Boulder in Colorado and City of Berkely in California;
- Base analysis on key dates including the 21st of June, September and December (March and September 21 are similar since the Daylight Saving Time rule change took effect in 2007);
- Develop implementable sun access goals that are use, time of day and time of year specific;

- 3 -

- Specify standard latitude and longitude to be applied to all of Mississauga;
- Specify standard dates, times and corresponding sun altitude, azimuth and shadow length factor data in tabular form based on standard latitude and longitude;
- Specify angular planes for use along Mississauga's main street grid system based on the alignment of Hurontario Street and Eglinton Avenue.

The revised standards for shadow studies will form one component of a suite of tools available to the Planning and Building Department for the evaluation of the impact of proposed developments and their compatibility with the surrounding context.

Details of the revised standards

- 4 -

The revised Standards For Shadow Studies (Appendix I-1) include the following:

- sun access goals for the sidewalks and boulevards of different street types and outdoor areas, at specific times of the day and year. These should result in improved pedestrian comfort, enhance the use and enjoyment of outdoor spaces within the public realm and private property;
- sun access goals that address the balance of sun and shade which is necessary for the enjoyment of open spaces;
- sun access goals that protect for sun exposure for planting areas in order to promote the healthy growth of vegetation;
- as with the existing standards, sun access goals that protect for adequate winter sun exposure on the faces of low rise buildings in order to facilitate the potential harnessing of solar energy, but in a manner that is easier to measure;

- sun angle data and information on the maximum angular planes required to achieve specific sun access goals with regard to boulevards and sidewalks;
- a check list of materials to be included in the submission of shadow studies;

The information on maximum angular planes provides the ability to verify appropriate street wall heights and setbacks in order to achieve the prescribed sun access goals along boulevards and sidewalks.

Comparison with other municipalities

The following are excerpts from the accompanying background report provided by R. Bouwmeester and Associates in support of the revised standards:

City of Toronto

Like Mississauga, the City of Toronto does not require shadow studies for all projects. They may be required, for proposals over 20 metres (6 storeys) in height that involve Official Plan Amendments, Zoning By-law Amendments and complex Site Plan Control applications. Shadow studies may also be required for projects less than 20 metres in height, particularly if a rezoning application is seeking additional height near shadow sensitive areas such as parks, cemeteries, etc. The focus of Toronto's standards is on adjacent streets, parks and properties. The standards require shadow modeling on March and September 21 at hourly intervals. Where the impacts fall on public open spaces or parks, June and December 21st must be added to the shadow analysis. The guide permits the inclusion of existing shadows, as-of-right shadowing, and shadows from approved-but-not-yet-built buildings in the analysis in order to fairly determine the additional, or incremental, shadowing due to a proposal.

- 5 -

City of New York

The City of New York (NYC) has recently updated its CEQR Technical Manual 11 which assists city agencies, proponents, and the public in reviewing proposals subject to City Environmental Quality Review (CEQR). The manual contains a chapter and an appendix dealing specifically with shadow assessments. The focus of the manual is on the environmental quality of sunlight-sensitive resources. These resources are defined to include those that depend on sunlight for growth and survival and those that require sunlight for their usability or architectural significance. As such, the criteria apply to publicly accessible open space, historic landscape or resource, and important natural features and landscaping. Some examples of architectural resources deemed in the manual to be sunlight-sensitive include historical buildings and landmarks, buildings with elaborate or carved elements that rely on sun/shadow patterns, and stained glass windows. Like Toronto, the manual permits the inclusion of existing shadows, as-of-right shadowing, and shadows from approved-but-not-yet-built buildings in the analysis in order to fairly determine the additional, or incremental, shadowing due to a proposal.

City of Boulder, Colorado

The City of Boulder's solar guidelines relate to both sunlight and the protection of sunlight for solar energy. The Solar Access Guide sets out the requirements of a city ordinance that guarantees sunlight for homeowners by limiting shadowing created by new construction and by requiring new buildings to be sited to provide good solar access. The protection of solar access is achieved by creating theoretical "solar fences" either 3.66 m or 7.62 m in height along the property lines of the protected building. There are exemptions for existing shade (trees are not included) and for shaded areas that fall outside of the building envelope.

City of Berkely, California

The Zoning Project Submittal Requirements of the City of Berkeley include the potential requirement for a shadow study. If a shadow study is required, it must include existing and proposed shadows and clearly highlight the incremental shadows. The area of concern is windows in residential buildings.

Testing

The revised standards for shadow studies have been tested by staff on an existing development, and they have been applied to the proposed Pinnacle Phase 1 high density development at the northwest corner of Eglinton Avenue and Hurontario Street. However, the overall impact of the standards on built form and the public realm will become more evident as they are applied to more development proposals.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: No further financial implications arising from the revised Standards For Shadow Studies, August 2011 are anticipated for the City.

- 7 -

CONCLUSION: The revised Standards For Shadow Studies, August 2011, will be one of several tools employed by City staff and the Development Community in assessing the impact of proposed development on the public realm and private properties, and they will assist in the determination of the appropriate locations, form, height distribution etc. of buildings and other elements that constitute site development. Applying the revised standards to development applications over the coming year will enable staff to properly evaluate their effectiveness.

ATTACHMENTS: Appendix I-1: Standards For Shadow Studies, August 2011

Edward R. Sajecki Commissioner of Planning and Building

Prepared By: Erinma Chibututu, Urban Designer

 $K: \label{eq:plan} K: \label{eq:plan} K: \label{eq:plan} VPDATA \label{eq:plan} PDC1 \label{eq:plan} voltarian \label{eq:plan} where \label{eq:plan} K: \label{eq:plan} VPDATA \label{eq:plan} VPDATA \label{eq:plan} VPDATA \label{eq:plan} voltarian \la$

File: CD.21.SHA



File: CD.21.SHA

2

STANDARDS FOR SHADOW STUDIES Shadow Studies illustrate the impact of development in terms of sun and daylight access to the surrounding context including surrounding buildings, the public realm, public and private open space. Shadow Studies may be required in support of development applications to demonstrate that the location and height of a proposed building if greater than 10.7m, will not cause undue shade on the subject lands, and on surrounding context including building facades, private and public outdoor amenity and open spaces, public parkland, sidewalks and other components of the public realm. Shadow Studies and Analyses will be conducted for the following dates: June 21 September 21 (similar to March 21, and therefore, criteria for Sept. 21 are deemed to apply to March 21) December 21 At the following times: Solar Noon (SN) Hourly intervals before and after Solar Noon (SN), up to and including 1.5 hours after sunrise and 1.5 hours before sunset Hourly solar data are specified for each date See Tables 2, 3 and 4: Mississauga Sun Angle Data FIG. 1: DETERMINING SHADOW LENGTH Sun Angles: Sun Angles are based on the latitude and longitude of the Sun 0 Mississauga Civic Centre at 300 City Centre Drive, Mississauga ON L5B 3C1 Proposed Building Latitude: 43 deg. 35' 20" N Longitude: 79 deg. 38' 40" W Height (H) Time Zone: Eastern Sun Altitude (Alt) Standard Time: UT - 5 hours Daylight Time : UT - 4 hours UT denotes Universal Time i.e. Greenwich Mean Time Shadow Length (SL) Building Shadow Length (SL) = Building Height (H) x Shadow Length Factor Height = H (SLF). See Fig. 1 Shadow Length Factor (SLF) = 1/tan(Alt)Shadow Length $(SL) = H \times SLF$ City of Mississauga: Planning and Building Department

File: CD.21.SHA

Ensure Adequate sunlight on the following:

1. Residential Private Outdoor Amenity Spaces

To maximise the use of private residential amenity spaces during spring, summer and fall, shadow impacts from proposed developments should not exceed one hour in duration on areas such as private rear yards, decks, patios and pools of surrounding residential dwellings on each of the following dates:

- June 21
- September 21 (Mar. 21 shadow patterns are similar but occur 14 minutes later)

This criterion is met if there is shadow impact for no more **than two consecutive hourly test times** within the space between the exterior wall of the dwelling that abuts the amenity space and the line of impact assessment (**"No Impact Zone"**).

The line of impact assessment shall be, a line 7.5m minimum from the rear wall or other appropriate exterior building wall of the dwelling that abuts the private amenity space. See Fig. 2 and 3

New shadows shall not result in less than 2 hours of direct sunlight. Where less than 2 hours of sunlight already exists within the **"No Impact Zone"**, no new shade may be added.

Balconies are not considered "residential private outdoor amenity spaces" unless they are the only outdoor living area available to the dwelling unit, are unenclosed, and project 4m or more from the exterior wall of the building.





File: CD.21.SHA



File: CD.21.SHA

b) Mixed Use, Commercial, Employment and High Density Residential Streets

Developments should be designed to allow full sunlight on the opposite boulevard including the full width of the sidewalk on September 21 as follows:

For a total of at least 5 hours that must include the 2 hour period between 12:12 p.m. and 2:12 p.m., and an additional 2 hour period from either 9:12 a.m. to 11:12 a.m. or from 3:12 p.m. to 5:12 p.m.

This criterion is met if there is no incremental shade from the proposed development at 12:12 p.m., 1:12 p.m. and 2:12 p.m., and three consecutive times either 9:12 a.m., 10:12 a.m. and 11:12 a.m. or 3:12 p.m., 4:12 p.m. and 5:12 p.m.

See Fig. 4, 5, 6 and Table 1for angular planes that will achieve this criterion for Hurontario Street, Eglinton Avenue and streets with a similar alignment.

c) Public Open Spaces, Parks and Plazas

Developments should be designed to provide a sun access factor of at least 50% on public open spaces, parks and plazas on September 21.

See 2a for calculating Sun Access Factor

Please note the following:

- Solar Noon in Mississauga on September 21 is 1:12 p.m.
- Shadow Patterns for September 21 and March 21 are similar
- Criteria for September 21 are deemed to apply to March 21

TABLE 1 Criterion 3a **Criterion 3b** Low and Mixed use, Medium Commercial. Density Employment Residential and High Streets Density Residential Streets Maximum Maximum Angular Plane Angular Plane Eglinton Avenue Proposed 38.6 degrees building on north side of Ealinton Ave. Proposed 22.7 degrees 48.9 degrees building on south side of Eglinton Ave. Hurontario Street 23.4 degrees 47.4 degrees Proposed building on west side of Hurontario Street Proposed 44.6 degrees building on east side of Hurontario Street

NOTES:

- Angular planes given above apply to the alignment of Eglinton Avenue and Hurontario Street and streets with equivalent orientation.
- Angular planes are measured from the closest edge of the opposite curb (see Fig. 5).
 Angular planes are measured beginning at
- grade. 4. Angular planes are measured perpendicular
- to the street.
- See Figures 4, 5, 6 for graphical representations of the angular plane limits.

File: CD.21.SHA



File: CD.21.SHA

Ensure Adequate sunlight on the following:

Turf and flower gardens in public parks 4.

Proposed developments should allow for adequate sunlight during the growing season from March to October by allowing for a minimum of 6 hours of direct sunlight on September 21.

This criterion is met if full sun is provided on any 7 test times on September 21, from 1.5 hours after sunrise to 1.5 hours before sunset.



5. Building faces to allow for the possibility of using solar energy

Shadow impacts from proposed developments should not exceed one hour in duration on the roofs, front, rear and exterior side walls of adjacent low rise (one to four storeys) residential buildings including townhouses, detached and semi-detached dwellings on September 21.

The line of impact assessment shall be a line at grade, 3m from the front, rear and exterior side wall of the adjacent low rise residential building.

This criterion is met if there is shadow impact for no more than two consecutive hourly test times in the "No Impact Zone" i.e. the space between the front, rear and exterior side walls of the adjacent lowrise residential buildings and the respective lines of impact assessment.

See Fig. 7 and 8

Incremental shadows do not necessarily represent adverse or undue impacts, and each proposal will be assessed on its own merits.



File: CD.21.SHA



File: CD.21.SHA

	SHADOW DIRE		
DATE: JUNE 21	Az (deg)	SLF (ratio length/height)	COMMENTS
LOCAL TIME EDT			
5:37	235.73		Rise
7:07	250.48	4.1230	Rise + 1.5 hr.
7:20	252.58	3.5045	SN - 6 hr.
8:20	262.02	2.0048	SN - 5 hr.
9:20	272.04	1.3106	SN - 4 hr.
10:20	283.79	0.8976	SN - 3 hr.
11:20	299.52	0.6203	SN - 2 hr.
12:20	323.67	0.4375	SN - 1 hr.
13:20	0.00	0.3670	Solar Noon (SN)
14:20	36.32	0.4375	SN + 1 hr.
15:20	60.47	0.6203	SN + 2 hr.
16:20	76.21	0.8975	SN + 3 nr.
17:20	87.96	1.3105	SN + 4 hr.
18:20	97.98	2.0047	SN + 5 hr.
19:20	107.42	3.5042	SN + 6 hr.
19:33	109.41	4.0852	Set - 1.5 hr.
21:03	124.27		Set

TABLE 2: MISSISSAUGA SUN ANGLE DATA (JUNE 21)

File: CD.21.SHA

DATE: SEPTEMBER 21	SHADOW DIREC		
	Az (deg)	SLF (ratio length/height)	COMMENTS
LOCAL TIME EDT			
7:05	268.27		Rise
8:35	284.22	3.6329	Rise + 1.5 hr.
9:12	291.23	2.5132	SN - 4 hr.
10:12	304.14	1.6445	SN -3 hr.
11:12	319.68	1.2181	SN -2 hr.
12:12	338.54	1.0011	SN -1 hr.
13:12	0.00	0.9329	Solar Noon (SN)
14:12	21.45	1.0022	SN + 1 hr.
15:12	40.28	1.2205	SN + 2 hr.
16:12	55.79	1.6495	SN + 3 hr.
17:12	68.68	2.5255	SN + 4 hr.
17:48	75.63	3.6493	Set - 1.5 hr.
19:18	91.46		Set

File: CD.21.SHA

	SHADOW DIRECT		
DATE: DECEMBER 21	Az (deg)	SLF (ratio length/height)	COMMENTS
LOCAL TIME EST			
7:49	302.37		Rise
9:19	319.05	4.8874	Rise + 1.5 hr.
10:17	331.25	3.1643	SN -2 hr.
11:17	345.21	2.5293	SN -1 hr.
12:17	0.00	2.3589	Solar Noon (SN)
13:17	14.79	2.5293	SN + 1 hr.
14:17	28.75	3.1644	SN + 2 hr.
15:15	41.06	4.9172	Set - 1.5 hr.
16:45	57.63		Set