Clerk's Files



Originator's Files OZ 10/010 W6 T-M86095 W6 Phase 2

PDC JAN 10 2011

DATE:	December 14, 2010
TO:	Chair and Members of Planning and Development Committee Meeting Date: January 10, 2011
FROM:	Edward R. Sajecki Commissioner of Planning and Building
SUBJECT:	Information Report Official Plan Amendment, Rezoning and Amendment to Draft Plan of Subdivision Applications To permit 32 detached dwelling units, 132 semi-detached dwelling units and a revised park block, road network and lot layout. 5353 Terry Fox Way Southeast corner of Bristol Road West & Terry Fox Way Owner: Embee Properties Limited Applicant: Lethbridge & Lawson Inc.
	Public Meeting Ward 6
RECOMMENDATION:	That the Report dated December 14, 2010, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building regarding the applications to amend the Official Plan from "Public Open Space" to "Residential-Medium Density I", to change the Zoning from "R3-29" (Detached Dwellings), "R5-38" (Detached Dwellings), "RM2-42" (Semi-Detached Dwellings) and "OS1" (Open Space) to

	Dwellings), "RM2-Exception A "RM2-Exception B" (Semi-De (Open Space), and to amend th permit 32 detached dwelling un units and a revised park block,	e Draft Plan of Subdivision to nits, 132 semi-detached dwelling road network and lot layout under 6095 W6 Phase 2, Embee Properties
BACKGROUND:	The above-noted applications h comments.	nave been circulated for technical
	The purpose of this report is to the applications and to seek co	provide preliminary information on mments from the community.
	A draft plan of subdivision was (amended May 17, 2004) for the immediately to the east (see Application Applicatio	-
	has indicated they no longer re lands. The applicant has there previous school block. The Ci Department has also revised th	proval, the Peel Board of Education quire a school block on the subject fore amended the plan to lot out the ty's Community Services eir requirements and have requested ed to the existing Heatherleigh Park.
	Avenue) were severed from the (approved by the Committee or	e future extension of Heatherleigh e subject lands under file 'B' 59-10 f Adjustment September 16, 2010) icial Plan Amendment and Rezoning ommercial uses.
COMMENTS:	As a result of the above noted of has been revised as follows:	changes the draft plan of subdivision
	Amended Draft Plan - Compar	ison of Subject Land Area
	Approved Draft Plan	Proposed Amendment
	(Oct. 26, 1998)	

(Oct. 26, 1998)	
19 Detached Dwellings	32 Detached Dwellings
60 Semi-Detached Dwellings	132 Semi-Detached

Planning and Development Committee - 3 -

	Dwellings
Total Units = 79	Total Units = 164
Density = 32.9 units per ha	Density = 34.4 units per ha
(13.3 units per ac)	(13.9 units per ac)
1 School Block	Elimination of School Block
(2.153 ha/5.3 ac)	
1 Park Block	1 Reduced Park Block
(1.199 ha/2.96 ac)	(0.48 ha/1.2 ac)
Residential Land Area	Residential Land Area
2.4 ha (5.93 ac)	4.77 ha (11.78 ac)
Comparison Area	Total Area
6.93 ha (17.11 ac.)	6.93 ha (17.11 ac.)

Development Pr	Development Proposal		
Applications			
submitted:	September 7, 2010		
Applications			
deemed			
complete:	September 17, 2010		
Height:	2 storeys		
Lot Frontages:	Detached Dwellings		
	14 m (46 ft.) frontage (28 lots);		
	11 m (36 ft.) frontage (4 lots);		
	Semi-Detached Dwellings		
	8.55 m (28 ft.) frontage (46 lots);		
	6.8 m (22 ft.) frontage (86 lots).		
Gross Floor	Detached units ranging from 204 m^2		
Area:	(2,194 sq. ft.) to 276 m ² (2,969 sq. ft.)		
	Semi-Detached units ranging from		
	114 m^2 (1,232 sq. ft.) to 176 m ²		
	(1,890 sq. ft.)		
Anticipated	551*		
Population:	*Average household sizes for all units		
	(by type) for the year 2011 (city average)		
	based on the 2008 Growth Forecasts for		
	the City of Mississauga.		
Parking	2 spaces per unit		

Planning and Development Committee

Development Proposal		
Required:	(Council Resolution CPD 121-91	
	requires 3 spaces per unit on-site, plus	
	0.25 spaces per unit	
	on-street, on lots less than 12 m (39 ft.)	
	frontage)	
Parking	Minimum of 2 spaces per unit	
Provided:	(Third space provided encroaching into	
	boulevard but not crossing sidewalk, and	
	0.25 spaces per unit provided on-street	
	for lots less than 12 m (39 ft.) frontage)	
Supporting	Plan of Survey	
Documents:	Draft Plan of Subdivision	
	Preliminary Park Grading Plan	
	Planning Justification	
	Phase I Environmental Site Assessment	
	Environmental/Green Features	
	Noise Impact Study	

- 4 -

Site Characteristics			
Frontages:	178.7 m (586.3 ft.) on Bristol Rd. West		
	273.9 m (898.6 ft.) on Terry Fox Way		
	269.9 m (885.5 ft.) on future		
	Heatherleigh Avenue		
Depth:	243.8 m (799.9 ft.)		
Lot Area:	6.93 ha (17.11 ac.)		
	Residential = 4.77 ha (11.78 ac.)		
	Park = 0.48 ha (1.19 ac.)		
	Roads = 1.67 ha (4.11 ac.)		
	0.3 m (1 ft.) Reserve = 0.01 ha (0.03 ac.)		
Existing Use:	vacant		

Green Development Initiatives

The applicant has identified that the following green development initiatives will be incorporated into the development:

- Energy Star rated products including hot water heater, furnace, windows, gas fireplace, appliances;
- Low flush toilets; and,
- Flow restricted shower heads.

Additional information is provided in Appendices I-1 to I-12.

Neighbourhood Context

The subject property forms approximately half of the vacant lands remaining south of Bristol Road West between Terry Fox Way and Mavis Road in a predominantly residential area. Information regarding the history of the site is found in Appendix I-1.

The surrounding land uses are described as follows:

- North: Bristol Road West and single family detached dwellings;East: Vacant lands proposed for retail commercial development;South: Heatherleigh Park, single family detached dwellings and
- street townhouses; West: Terry Fox Way, a convenience commercial development, condominium townhouses and a 9-storey apartment building.

Current Mississauga Plan Designation and Policies for East Credit District (May 5, 2003)

"**Residential Medium Density I**" which permits detached, semi-detached, townhouse dwellings or any combination of these dwelling units including all forms of horizontal buildings within a density range of 30-57 units per net residential hectare (12-23 units per net residential acre).

"**Public Open Space**" which permits public parkland or public cemetery with accessory uses generally limited to a maximum of twenty percent of the total gross floor area.

As the limits of the proposed park block have been reduced by approximately 0.719 hectares/1.78 acres, the applications are not in conformity with the "Public Open Space" land use designation.

Community uses, such as school sites, are permitted in all land use designations, except Greenbelt.

There are other policies in the Official Plan which also are applicable in the review of these applications including:

Section 3.2.3.1

Residential lands will be developed to achieve a compact, orderly urban form generally characterized by lower densities in the interior of communities and higher densities along major roads and near concentration of retail commercial, community, and transportation facilities.

Section 3.8.3.2c.

Additional public parkland may be acquired by the City through the processing of development applications or by way of purchase.

Section 3.8.3.2d.

Mississauga will own, lease, operate, maintain, and administer public parkland and facilities to meet the recreational, cultural, educational and social needs of citizens.

Section 3.19.3.2

School site areas will be determined during the processing of development applications having regard to the site policies established by the School Boards.

Urban Design Policies

Section 3.18.2.4

Building and site design will be compatible with site conditions, the surrounding context, features and surrounding landscape and the intended character of the area.

Section 3.18.5.2

Buildings should address the street with main entrances facing the street, with strong pedestrian connections and landscape treatments that connect buildings to the street. Criteria for Site Specific Official Plan Amendments

Section 5.3.2 of Mississauga Plan contains criteria which requires

an applicant to submit satisfactory planning reports to demonstrate the rationale for the proposed amendment as follows:

• the proposal would not adversely impact or destabilize the following: the overall intent, goals and objectives of the Official Plan; and the development and functioning of the

remaining lands which have the same designation, or neighbouring lands;

- the lands are suitable for the proposed uses, and compatible with existing and future uses of surrounding lands;
- there is adequate infrastructure and community services to support the proposed development.

Mississauga Official Plan (new Official Plan)

- 7 -

Mississauga Official Plan (new Official Plan) was adopted by City Council on September 29, 2010. Until the new Official Plan is approved by the Region of Peel and comes into force, Mississauga Plan (existing Official Plan) continues to be in effect. While the existing Official Plan is the plan of record against which the applications are being reviewed, regard should also be given to the new Official Plan. Under the new Official Plan, the subject lands are designated **"Residential Medium Density"** and **"Public Open Space".** As the proposal does not conform with the limits of the "Public Open Space" land use designation contained in the new Official Plan and associated policies, an amendment is required.

The timing of the approval of the proposed site specific official plan amendment may be affected by the approval of the new Official Plan and any potential appeals. A recommendation will be included in the Supplementary Report to address the status of the new Official Plan.

Proposed Official Plan Designation and Policies

An Official Plan Amendment is proposed to redesignate the northern portion of the lands designated as "**Public Open Space**" to "**Residential Medium Density I**" to accommodate the proposed amended draft plan of subdivision.

Existing Zoning

"R3-29" (Detached Dwellings), which permits detached dwellings with frontages of 14 m (46 ft.) and front yard setbacks of 9 m (29.5 ft.) to the building and 12 m (39 ft.) to the garage face.

"**R5-38**" (Detached Dwellings), which permits detached dwellings with frontages of 11 m (36 ft.).

"RM2-42" (Semi-Detached Dwellings), which permits semi-detached dwellings with frontages of 6.5 m (21 ft.), and also permits a public school.

"OS1" (Open Space – Community Park), which permits active and passive recreational uses and stormwater management facilities.

Proposed Zoning By-law Amendment

- 8 -

"R3-Exception" (Detached Dwellings), to permit detached dwellings with frontages of 14 m (46 ft.) and front yard setbacks of 9 m (29.5 ft.) to the building and 12 m (39 ft.) to the garage face, and the standards in Appendix I-9.

"R5-Exception" (Detached Dwellings), which permits detached dwellings with frontages of 11 m (36 ft.), and the standards in Appendix I-9.

"RM2-Exception A" (Semi-Detached Dwellings), which permits semi-detached dwellings with frontages of 8.5 m (28 ft.), and the standards in Appendix I-9.

"RM2-Exception B" (Semi-Detached Dwellings), which permits semi-detached dwellings with frontages of 6.8 m (22 ft.), and the standards in Appendix I-9.

"OS1" (Open Space – Community Park), which permits active and passive recreational uses and stormwater management facilities.

COMMUNITY ISSUES

No community meetings were held, however the following written comments were received by the Planning and Building Department.

Comments

A concern was raised at the loss of habitat on the vacant property.

Response

There were no significant natural features on the vacant lands to warrant further study, and fewer than 5 trees with diameters greater than 15 cm (6 in.) were identified and therefore, no tree permit is required. The lands have been previously designated and zoned for residential and community park development.

Comments

Concern was expressed regarding the proposed reduction in the size of the future parkland.

Response

The Community Services Department is satisfied that the neighbourhood is well served with parkland and recognizes that the applicant is to be compensated for an over dedication of parkland. See the detailed Community Services Department comments on page 5 and 6 of Appendix I-7 and park concept in Appendix I-11.

DEVELOPMENT ISSUES

Agency comments are summarized in Appendix I-7 and school accommodation information is contained in Appendix I-8. Based upon the comments received and issues identified, the following matters will have to be addressed:

- provision of additional grading, servicing and cross-sectional details for proposed municipal easements;
- finalize review of noise study;
- provide letter of reliance for Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment;
- revise the draft plan to incorporate expanded right-of-way at the elbows in Street 'A', and any other changes deemed necessary;

-9-

Planning and Development	Committee	- 10 -	T-M86095 W6 Phase 2 December 14, 2010
		zation of requested	l zoning standards;
	-	otion from CPD Re ration of draft plar	commendation 121-91;
	• Devel enforce detail	lopment and Service various requirer	cing Agreements will be required to nents in any future development, the dealt with during the processing of
FINANCIAL IMPACT:	requirement the City as	nts of the applicable well as financial i	e payable in keeping with the le Development Charges By-law of requirements of any other official evelopment of the lands.
CONCLUSION:	and after the resolved, the	he public meeting he Planning and B	ment comments have been received has been held and all issues are uilding Department will be in a ndation regarding these applications.
ATTACHMENTS :	Appendix Appendix Appendix Appendix Appendix Appendix Appendix	I-4 - Excerpt of Ex I-5 – Amended Dr I-6 - Elevations I-7 - Agency Com I-8 - School Accor I-9 - Proposed Zor	ast Credit District Land Use Map sisting Land Use Map aft Plan of Subdivision ments mmodation

Files: OZ 10/010 W6

Appendix I-11 – Park Concept Appendix I-12 - General Context Map Planning and Development Committee - 11 -

Edward R. Sajecki Commissioner of Planning and Building

Prepared By: Jonathan Famme, Development Planner

K:WPDATA\PDC1\OZ 10 010 and T-M86095 W6_Info Report.doc\hr

Files: OZ 10/010 W6 T-M86095 W6 Phase 2

Site History

- August 10, 1998 Council approval of Official Plan Amendment from "Residential-High Density" "Residential-Medium Density" and "Residential-Low Density" to "Residential Medium Density", Rezoning from "A" to "R3-Special Section", "RM2-Special Section", "RM5-Special Section", "O1", and "H-RM5-Special Section", and Draft Plan of Subdivision to permit a maximum of 30 detached dwellings, a maximum of 259 dwellings consisting of a combination of detached and/or semi-detached dwellings and/or street row dwellings, a partial park block and a school block under files OZ 96/010 W6 and T-M86095 W6;
- October 26, 1998 Draft Plan Approval was issued for T-M86095 W6;
- May 5, 2003 Mississauga Plan, with the exception of certain policies that were appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB), came into full force and effect. Since the appeals to Mississauga Plan do not pertain to this site, Mississauga Plan governs the subject lands. The subject site was designated "Residential-Medium Density I" and "Open Space";
- December 15, 2003 Draft Plan T-M86095 W6 was revised;
- May 17, 2004 Draft Plan Conditions were amended for T-M86095 W6;
- June 9, 2004 By-law 0252-2004 came into force to amend By-law 5500 from "A" to "R3-1807", "RM2-2401", and "H-RM2-2401", "R5-2402", and "O1";
- June 20, 2007 Zoning By-law 0225-2007 came into force except for those sites which have been appealed. As no appeals have been filed the provisions of the new By-law apply. The subject lands are zoned "R3-29" (Detached Dwellings), "R5-38" (Detached Dwellings), "RM2-42" (Semi-Detached Dwellings) and "OS1" (Open Space)".











Computer Storage File No.

Disk Plan Storage No. 40-5-10.DWG

Operator

APPENDIX Τ <u>U</u>

Plan Index

F 14



FRONT ELEVATION '1' 45C, THE CHATHAM

(TERRY FOX WAY & BRISTOL ROAD)

FRONT ELEVATION '2' 45C, THE CHATHAM

(TERRY FOX WAY & BRISTOL ROAD)



 PARADISE HOMES - 210063
 45C

 COBBLESTONE SOUTH, MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO
 2752 %

 40 Vogell Rd, Suite 52, Richmond Hill, ON L4B 3N6 # 1 905.737.5133 // 416.410.7374 # F 905.737.7326 #
 NOV.2010
 S Y #
 2000605454C

 40 diagell Rd, Suite 52, Richmond Hill, ON L4B 3N6 # 1 905.737.5133 // 416.410.7374 # F 905.737.7326 #
 NOV.2010
 S Y #
 2000605454C

45C 2752 SF 210063DS45C withen permission. PPENDIX I-6a



(HEATHERLEIGH AVENUE)

(HEATHERLEIGH AVENUE)



UNIT 36R - (FOR LOTS 2 & 3)

SY 🛤

NOV. 2010



www.huntdesign.ca

All drawing

40 Vogell Rd, Suite 52, Richmond Hill, ON L4B 3N6 🗉 T 905.737.5133 // 416.410.7374 🖬 F 905.737.7326 🔳 JULY 2009 🔳 SY 🔳 20935WU28U

sign are the copyright property of Hunt Design Associates (H.D.A). Reproduction of this property in whole or in part is strictly prohibited without H.D.A.'s writter

APPENDIX I-6c

28U - 'UMBRIA'





UNIT 22P 2414 SF 40 Vogell Rd, Suite 52, Richmond Hill, ON L4B 3N6 = T 905.737.5133 // 416.410.7374 = F 905.737.7326 = NOV. 2010 = SY 210063DU22P nents and design are the copyright property of Hunt Design Associates (H.D.A). Reproduction of this property in whole or in part is strictly prohibited without H.D.A.'s writter



UNIT 22P



FLANKAGE ELEVATION '1C'

(HEATHERLEIGH AVENUE & STREET 'A')



APPENDIX I-6f

File: OZ 10/010 W6 T-M86095 W6 Phase 2

Agency Comments

The following is a summary of comments from agencies and departments regarding the application.

Comment	
Municipal sanitary sewer facilities are available in a 250 mm (0.92)	
(9.8") sewer on Bristol Road West, 250 mm (9.8") sewer on	
Heatherleigh Avenue.	
Water facilities are available in a 600 mm (23.6") diameter watermain located on Bristol Road West, and in a 200 mm (7.9") diameter watermain on Terry Fox Way and 150 mm (5.9") diameter on Heatherleigh Avenue.	
The lands are located in Water Pressure Zone 4.	
The applicant is advised external easements and construction may be required.	
Provisions will be required in the sanitary sewers and watermains to accommodate external lands.	
The Developer acknowledges that the lands are subject to the latest Region's Development Charges By-law. The applicable development charges shall be paid in the manner and at the times provided by this By-law. The applicant is advised full residential development charges apply.	
There is no negative impact upon the Regional Capital Budget as this development does not create a need for sanitary sewer, watermain, or road improvements in the Five Year Capital Budget and Forecast.	

File: OZ 10/010 W6 T-M86095 W6 Phase 2

l 	1
Agency / Comment Date	Comment
Tigency / Comment Date	The plan does not indicate a direct access from the
	development onto a Regional road and it appears that it will
	have no impact on the Regional road network.
	The applicant is advised curbside collection will be provided
	by the Region of Peel.
	The applicant is advised the site is in the vicinity of the
	Britannia Sanitary Landfill Site. This site was closed on
	June 29, 2002. An environmental monitoring program is in
	place at the site and consists of routine groundwater, surface
	water and landfill gas monitoring. A landfill gas collection
	system has been installed at the site. The collection system
	collects the landfill gases generated and converts them to
	energy. The site is also home to a 27-hole golf course complete
	with a clubhouse and maintenance buildings. The site is
	located in a residential area. It is catalogued by the
	Ministry of the Environment as A220112.
	The sum or / anon on out a slup outle door that the mean do of the
	The owner/proponent acknowledges that the records of the Regional Municipality of Real concerning the location and
	Regional Municipality of Peel concerning the location and nature of waste disposal sites or hazardous wastes are
	-
	incomplete and that the Regional Municipality of Peel makes no representation that records may be relied upon in
	determining whether or not lands have been used for the
	disposal of waste or hazardous wastes.
	alsposal of music of flazardous musics.
	The Region has also provided a series of conditions for
	inclusion in any amended Conditions of Draft Approval.
Dufferin-Peel Catholic	In comments, dated September 30, 2010, the Dufferin-Peel
District School Board	Catholic District School Board responded that it is satisfied
(September 30, 2010)	with the current provision of educational facilities for the
	catchment area and, as such, the school accommodation
	condition as required by City of Mississauga Council
	Resolution 152-98 pertaining to satisfactory arrangements

File: OZ 10/010 W6 T-M86095 W6 Phase 2

Agency / Comment Date	Comment
rigeney / Comment Date	regarding the adequate provision and distribution of educational facilities need not be applied for this development application.
	In addition, if approved, the Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board also requires the following conditions be incorporated into the conditions of draft approval:
	1. That the applicant shall agree in the Servicing and/or Subdivision Agreement to include the following warning clauses in all offers of purchase and sale of residential lots until the permanent school for the area has been completed.
	(a) "Whereas, despite the best efforts of the Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board, sufficient accommodation may not be available for all anticipated students from the area, you are hereby notified that students may be accommodated in temporary facilities and/or bussed to a school outside of the neighbourhood, and further, that students may later be transferred to the neighbourhood school."
	(b) "That the purchasers agree that for the purpose of transportation to school, the residents of the subdivision shall agree that children will meet the bus on roads presently in existence or at another place designated by the Board."
	That the applicant shall agree in the Servicing and/or Subdivision Agreement to erect and maintain information signs at all major entrances to the proposed development advising the following: "Please be advised that students may be accommodated elsewhere on a temporary basis until suitable permanent pupil places, funded by the Government of Ontario, are available." These signs, shall be to the

File: OZ 10/010 W6 T-M86095 W6 Phase 2

-

A gam any / Comment Det	Comment
Agency / Comment Date	Comment Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board's specifications, at locations determined by the Board and erected prior to registration.
	Prior to final approval, the City of Mississauga shall be advised by the School Board that satisfactory arrangements regarding the provision and distribution of educational facilities have been made between the developer/applicant and the School Board for this plan.
Peel District School Board (September 29, 2010)	In comments, dated September 29, 2010, the Peel District School Board responded that it is satisfied with the current provision of educational facilities for the catchment area and, as such, the school accommodation condition as required by City of Mississauga Council Resolution 152-98 pertaining to satisfactory arrangements regarding the adequate provision and distribution of educational facilities need not be applied for this development application. In addition, if approved, the Peel District School Board also requires the following conditions be fulfilled: Prior to final approval, the City of Mississauga shall be advised by the School Board that satisfactory arrangements regarding the provision and distribution of educational facilities have been made between the developer/applicant and the School Board for this plan. The developer shall agree to erect and maintain signs at the entrances to the subdivision which shall advise prospective purchases that due to present school facilities, some of the children from the subdivision may have to be accommodated in temporary facilities or bussed to schools, according to the Board's Transportation Policy.

File: OZ 10/010 W6 T-M86095 W6 Phase 2

Agency / Comment Date	Comment		
	The Board requires that the following clause be placed in any		
	agreement of purchase and sale entered into with respect to any		
	lots on this plan, within a period of five years from the date of registration of the subdivision agreement:		
	"Whereas, despite the efforts of the Peel District School Board,		
	sufficient accommodation may not be available for all		
	anticipated students in the neighbourhood schools, you are		
	hereby notified that some students may be accommodated in		
	temporary facilities or bussed to schools outside of the area,		
	according to the Board's Transportation Policy. You are		
	advised to contact the School Accommodation department of		
	the Peel District School Board to determine the exact schools."		
City Community Services	The subject lands are adjacent to Heatherleigh Park (P-368),		
Department –	which currently includes a ball diamond, play structure, and		
Planning, Development and	parking lot. The original plan of subdivision application under		
Business Services	file T-86095 was draft approved in 1997, and proposed an		
Division/Park Planning	extension to the park. This park extension is reflected by the		
Section	current zoning and official plan designation limits in regard to		
(November 25, 2010)	open space. In addition to the existing park facilities, this plan		
	included a north/south oriented senior soccer field. The		
	proposed senior soccer field relied upon the future school		
	board lands for buffer purposes. As the school board site is no		
	longer proceeding, a revised park configuration was sought as		
	a senior soccer field could no longer be accommodated within		
	the proposed lands.		
	The revised subdivision proposal includes a parkland addition,		
	which is approximately 0.48 ha (1.19 ac) in size, and would		
	provide sufficient area to accommodate the installation of an		
	east/west oriented junior soccer field and associated buffers		
	(See Appendix I-11). Based on the revised layout for the		
	soccer field, park development works will be required within		
	the existing portion of Heatherleigh Park, which are estimated		
	at \$100,000. The applicant has agreed to providing a cash		

File: OZ 10/010 W6 T-M86095 W6 Phase 2

Agency / Comment Date	Comment		
	contribution in the amount of \$100,000 for the required park		
	redevelopment works. There remains a parkland over		
	dedication payment to the developer from a previous proposal,		
	which shall be resolved through the registration of this plan.		
	Should these applications be approved, satisfactory		
	arrangements regarding parkland dedication, park development		
	works, and other Departmental conditions shall be made		
	through the subdivision process, and associated Servicing and		
	Development Agreements.		
City Community Services	The applicant has completed an archaeological assessment for		
Department – Culture	the subject lands and received clearance from the Ministry of		
Division	Culture, March 18, 2004. There are no further heritage		
(November 3, 2010)	concerns.		
City Community Services	Fire has reviewed the official plan amendment/rezoning		
Department – Fire and	applications from an emergency response perspective and has		
Emergency Services	no concerns. Emergency response time to the site and water		
Division	supply available are acceptable.		
(October 4, 2010)			
City Transportation and	In comments dated November 26, 2010 this department		
Works Department	advised that an acoustic report dated November 11, 2010 by		
(November 26, 2010)	HGC Engineering was submitted with the application, and that		
	report is currently under review. Due to the orientation of		
	single detached frontages on Bristol Road and Terry Fox Way		
	the extent of acoustic fencing proposed for the development		
	has been minimized to include only the flankage and frontage		
	of Lots 1 and 14 respectively.		
	Municipal easements have been proposed within the		
	south-west angle of the plan in the vicinity of Lots 31, 55, 56		
	and Park Block 165, to accommodate storm sewers, sanitary		
	sewers, an overland flow route, lot grading and drainage		
	features and 1.8 m (6.0 ft.) privacy fencing. The applicant has		
	been requested to provide additional grading, servicing and		
	cross-sectional details to clearly illustrate how the multiple		
	services and features proposed for this area will be		
	r r r		

File: OZ 10/010 W6 T-M86095 W6 Phase 2

Agency / Comment Date	Comment
	accommodated. The easement within the adjacent park site may have to be expanded to accommodate the necessary services and features. Notwithstanding the deletion of the walkway formerly proposed through this location, the applicant is to increase the expanded right of way at the bends in Street A as necessary to adequately accommodate the proposed driveways, services and drainage features required at these locations. Details are to be provided.
	Due to the presence of the existing bicycle path within the easterly boulevard of Terry Fox Way and the proposed driveways and turn around areas for the lots fronting this location, this department is currently investigating the feasibility of relocating the cycling facilities to within the travelled road. This would reduce the extent of asphalt surfaces within the boulevard area.
	Detailed comments will be provided prior to the supplementary meeting pending the review of revised drawings.
Greater Toronto Airport Authority (October 8, 2010)	Airport Zoning Restrictions: According to the Airport Zoning Regulations for Toronto's Lester B. Pearson International Airport, development elevations on the property are not affected by any airport restrictions related to obstacle zoning or aeronautical facilities.
	Noise Impacts: The subject property lies within the 25-28 NEF/NEP of the composite contour map for Toronto Pearson International Airport and outside of the Pearson Airport Operating Area (AOA). Noise contours depicting the Noise Exposure Forecast (NEF) and Noise Exposure Projection (NEP) are produced to encourage compatible land use planning in the vicinity of airports. Acoustic design features should be incorporated in the building components to the satisfaction of the City of Mississauga.

File: OZ 10/010 W6 T-M86095 W6 Phase 2

Agency / Comment Date	Comment
Bell Canada (October 13, 2010)	The Developer is hereby advised that prior to commencing any work within the Plan, the Developer must confirm that sufficient wire-line communication/telecommunication infrastructure is currently available within the proposed development to provide communication/telecommunication service to the proposed development. In the event that such infrastructure is not available, the Developer is hereby advised that the Developer may be required to pay for the connection to and/or extension of the existing communication/ telecommunication infrastructure. If the Developer elects not to pay for such connection to and/or extension of the existing communication/telecommunication infrastructure, the Developer shall be required to demonstrate to the municipality that sufficient alternative communication/telecommunication facilities are available within the proposed development to enable, at a minimum, the effective delivery of communication/telecommunication services for emergency management services (i.e., 911 Emergency Services). The Owner shall agree in the Agreement, in words satisfactory to Bell Canada, to grant to Bell Canada any easements that may be required for telecommunication services. Easements may be required subject to final servicing decisions. In the
	event of any conflict with existing Bell Canada facilities or easements, the owner/developer shall be responsible for the relocation of such facilities or easements.
Enersource Hydro Mississauga Inc. (October 8, 2010)	In reference to the subject application we have no objection to the rezoning of these lands and have reviewed the proposed draft plan of subdivision. Our comments are as follows:
	 Initial supply could be made available subject to timing, prior use and coordination with adjacent lands.

File: OZ 10/010 W6 T-M86095 W6 Phase 2

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Agency / Comment Date	Comment
Agency / Comment Date	2. Electrical servicing shall be in accordance with
	Enersource Hydro Mississauga's requirements.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	 The applicant is requested to contact Enersource Hydro Mississauga well in advance to arrange for the design and installation of the electrical distribution system. An "Offer to Connect" will be made for the above development that is consistent with the rules outlined in Chapter 3 of the Ontario Energy Board's Distribution System Code.
	 The applicant is to enter into Enersource Hydro Mississauga's Offer to Connect Agreement including the necessary financial guarantees, prior to servicing the lands.
Other City Departments and	The following City Departments and external agencies offered
External Agencies	no objection to these applications provided that all technical
	matters are addressed in a satisfactory manner:
	Hydro One Networks Inc.
	Canada Post Corporation
	The following City Departments and external agencies were
	circulated the applications but provided no comments:
	City's Economic Development Department
	City's Realty Services Department
	Peel Regional Police
	French District Catholic School Board
	Conseil Scolaire de District Catholique Centre-Sud
	Conseil Scolaire de District Centre-Sud-Ouest
	Rogers Cable
	Air Transport Association of Canada

Files: OZ 10/010 W6 T-M86095 W6 Phase 2

School Accommodation

The Peel District School Board		The Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board					
•	• Student Yield:		•	Student Yield:			
	38 20 26	Kindergarten to Grade 6 to Grade Grade 9 to Grade	e 8		26 7	Junior Kindergarten to Grade 8 Grade 9 to Grade 12/OAC	
•	School Acc	commodation:		•	School Accommodation:		
	Sherwood N	Mills Public Schoo	ol		St. Valentine		
	Enrolment: Capacity*: Portables:		723 641 1		Enrolment: Capacity: Portables:	627 0	
	-	ok Middle School			St. Joseph Catholic Secondary School		
	Enrolment: Capacity*: Portables:		828 637 6		Enrolment: Capacity*: Portables:	1,794 1,269 24	
	Rick Hanse	en Secondary Scho	ool				
Enrolment:1,907Capacity*:1,725Portables:4* Note:Capacity reflects the Ministry ofEducation rated capacity, not the Board ratedcapacity, resulting in the requirement of							
po	portables.						

File: OZ 10/010 W6 and T-M86095 Phase 2

Proposed Zoning Standards

Detached Dwellings fronting Bristol Road West & Terry Fox Way

	R3 Zone	Proposed R3-Exception	R3-29 Zone (existing Detached
		F	Dwelling zoning)
Permitted Use	Detached Dwellings	Detached Dwellings	Detached Dwellings
Min. Lot Area			
Interior	$550 \text{ m}^2 (5,920 \text{ ft}^2)$	$435 m^2 (4,682 ft^2)$	$500 \text{ m}^2 (5,382 \text{ ft}^2)$
Corner	$720 \text{ m}^2 (7,750 \text{ ft}^2)$	$550 m^2 (5,920 ft^2)$	$600 \text{ m}^2 (6,458 \text{ ft}^2)$
Min. Lot Frontage			
Interior	15.0 m (49 ft.)	14 m (46 ft.)	14 m (46 ft.)
Corner	19.5 m (64 ft.)	17 m (56 ft.)	17 m (56 ft.)
Max. Lot Coverage	35%	40%	
Min. Front Yard			
Interior Lot	7.5 m (24.6 ft.)	9 m (29.5 ft.)	9 m (29.5 ft.)
Corner Lot	6.0 m (19.7 ft.)		
Min. setback to Garage	7.5 m (24.6 ft.) or 6	12 m (39.4 ft.)	12 m (39.4 ft.)
Face	m (19.7 ft.) corner		
	lot		
Min. Exterior Side Yard	6.0 m (19.7 ft.)	4.5 m (14.7 ft.)	
Min. Interior Side Yard	1.2 m (3.9 ft.) plus	1.2 m (3.9 ft.) on one	
	0.61 m (2 ft.) for	side and 0.61 m (2	
	each additional	ft.) on the other side	
	storey above the first		
Min. Rear Yard	7.5 m (24.6 ft.)	7 m (23 ft.)	
Max. encroachment of a	1.6 m (5.2 ft.)	2 m (6.6 ft.)	
covered or uncovered			
porch			
Max. encroachment of a	1 m (3.3 ft.)	2 m (6.6 ft.)	
balcony			
Min. Lot Frontage	15 m (49.2 ft.)	14 m (46ft.)	
required for Hammerhead			
Driveway			

	R5 Zone	Proposed	R5-38 Zone
		R5-Exception	(existing Detached Dwelling Zoning)
Permitted Use	Detached Dwellings	Detached Dwellings	Detached Dwellings
Min. Lot Area			
Interior	$295 \text{ m}^2 (3,175 \text{ ft}^2)$	$335 m^2 (3,606 ft^2)$	$335 \text{ m}^2 (3,606 \text{ ft}^2)$
Corner	$415 \text{ m}^2(4,467 \text{ ft}^2)$	$400 m^2 (4,305 ft^2)$	410 m^2 (4,413 ft ²)
Min. Lot Frontage			
Interior	9.75 m (32 ft.)	11 m (36 ft.)	11 m (36 ft.)
Corner	13.5 m (44 ft.)	13 m (42.6 ft.)	13 m (42.6 ft.)
Min. Front Yard			
Interior Lot	4.5 m (14.8 ft.)		
Corner Lot	4.5 m (14.8 ft.)		
Min. Garage Face	6.0 m (19.7 ft.)	7.0 m (23 ft.)	
Min. Exterior Side Yard	4.5 m (14.8 ft.)		
Min. Interior Side Yard	1.2 m (3.9 ft.) on		
	one side and 0.61 m		
	(2 ft.) on the other		
	side		
Corner Lot	1.2 m (3.9 ft.)	0.61 m (2 ft.)	
Min. Rear Yard	7.5 m (24.6 ft.)	7.0 m (23 ft.)	
Max. Lot Coverage	40%	45%	
Max. encroachment of a	1.6 m (5.2 ft.)	2 m (6.6 ft.)	
covered or uncovered			
porch			
Max. encroachment of a balcony	1 m (3.3 ft.)	2 m (6.6 ft.)	

Detached Dwellings on west side of Heatherleigh Avenue

Semi-Detached Dwellings internal to subdivision

	RM2 Zone	Proposed RM2-Exception A	RM2-42 Zone (existing Semi- Detached Zoning)
Permitted Use	Semi-Detached	Semi-Detached	Semi-Detached
	Dwellings, and	Dwellings	Dwellings, and
	Detached Dwellings		Public School
	in accordance with		
	R5 zone		
Min. Lot Area			
Interior	$200 \text{ m}^2 (2,153 \text{ ft}^2)$	$215 m^2 (2,314 ft^2)$	$210 \text{ m}^2 (2,260 \text{ ft}^2)$
Corner	$280 \text{ m}^2 (3,014 \text{ ft}^2)$	$330 m^2 (3,552 ft^2)$	$260 \text{ m}^2 (2,798 \text{ ft}^2)$
Min. Lot Frontage			
Interior	6.8 m (22.3 ft.)	8.5 m (27.8 ft.)	6.5 m (21.3 ft.)
Corner	9.8 m (32.2 ft.)	11.5 m (37.7 ft.)	8.3 m (27.2 ft.)
Min. Front Yard	4.5 m (14.7 ft.)		
Min. Garage Face	6.0 m (19.7 ft.)	7.0 m (23 ft.)	
Min. Exterior Side Yard	4.5 m (14.7 ft.)		
Min. Interior Side Yard	0 m (0 ft.) attached		
	side, 0.9 m (3 ft.)		
	unattached side		
Min. Rear Yard	7.5 m (24.6 ft.)	7.0 m (23 ft.)	
Max. Lot Coverage	45%	50%	
Max. encroachment of a	1.6 m (5.2 ft.)	2 m (6.6 ft.)	
covered or uncovered			
porch			
Max. encroachment of a balcony	1 m (3.3 ft.)	2 m (6.6 ft.)	

Semi-Detached Dwellings internal to subdivision

	RM2 Zone	Proposed	RM2-42 Zone
		RM2-Exception B	(existing Semi-
			Detached Zoning)
Permitted Use	Semi-Detached	Semi-Detached	Semi-Detached
	Dwellings, and	Dwellings	Dwellings, and
	Detached Dwellings		Public School
	in accordance with		
	R5 zone		
Min. Lot Area			
Interior	$200 \text{ m}^2 (2,153 \text{ ft}^2)$	$\frac{210 \ m^2 \ (2,260 \ ft^2)}{260 \ m^2 \ (2,799 \ ft^2)}$	$210 \text{ m}^2 (2,260 \text{ ft}^2)$
Corner	$280 \text{ m}^2(3,014 \text{ ft}^2)$	$260 m^2 (2,799 ft^2)$	$260 \text{ m}^2 (2,798 \text{ ft}^2)$
Min. Lot Frontage			
Interior	6.8 m (22.3 ft.)		6.5 m (21.3 ft.)
Corner	9.8 m (32.2 ft.)		8.3 m (27.2 ft.)
Min. Front Yard	4.5 m (14.7 ft.)		
Min. Garage Face	6.0 m (19.7 ft.)	7.0 m (23 ft.)	
Min. Exterior Side Yard	4.5 m (14.7 ft.)		
Min. Interior Side Yard	0 m (0 ft.) attached		
	side, 0.9 m (3 ft.)		
	unattached side		
Min. Rear Yard	7.5 m (24.6 ft.)	7.0 m (23 ft.)	
Max. Lot Coverage	45%	50%	
Max. encroachment of a	1.6 m (5.2 ft.)	2 m (6.6 ft.)	
covered or uncovered			
porch			
Max. encroachment of a	1 m (3.3 ft.)	2 m (6.6 ft.)	
balcony			

K:\PLAN\DEVCONTL\GROUP\WPDATA\CENTRAL\OZ\OZ 10.10 Appendix I9.doc



PPENDIX H

 \mathbf{H} \circ



APPENDIX I-11

