Clerk's Files



Originator's Files OZ 08/005 W4 T-M08001 W4

DATE:	June 3, 2008	
TO:	Chair and Members of Planning and Development Committee Meeting Date: June 23, 2008	
FROM:	Edward R. Sajecki Commissioner of Planning and Building	
SUBJECT:	Information Report Official Plan Amendment, Rezoning and Draft Plan of Subdivision Applications To permit detached dwellings, semi-detached dwellings, a community park block and to delete a minor collector road Part of Lot 19 Concession 2, N.D.S and Part of Lot 101, Lot 105 and Blocks 106 and 108 of Register Plan 43M-728 Southwest quadrant of Eglinton Avenue West and Confederation Parkway Owner: Partacc Mississauga Developments Inc. (by Agreement of Purchase and Sale) Applicant: Lethbridge and Lawson Inc. Bill 51	ered
	Public Meeting W	ard 4

**RECOMMENDATION:** That the Report dated June 3, 2008, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building regarding the application under file OZ 08/005 W4 to amend the Official Plan from "Residential Low Density II" and "Residential Medium Density I" to "Residential Low Density II" and "Public Open Space", to delete a minor collector road by changing the proposed road network and to

	<ul> <li>change the Zoning from "R4" (Detached Dwellings), "R4-20"</li> <li>(Detached Dwellings), "R5" (Detached Dwellings) and "RM4-1"</li> <li>(Townhouse Dwellings and Street Townhouse Dwellings) to</li> <li>"R5-Exception" (Detached Dwellings), "RM2 - Exception"</li> <li>(Semi-Detached Dwellings) and "OS1" (Community Park) zones</li> <li>in By-law 0225-2007 and a Draft Plan of Subdivision under file</li> <li>T-M08001 W4 to accommodate 31 detached dwellings,</li> <li>270 semi-detached dwellings and a community park block, Partacc</li> <li>Mississauga Developments Inc. (by Agreement of Purchase and</li> <li>Sale), Part of Lot 19 Concession 2, N.D.S. and Part of Lot 101,</li> <li>Lot 105 and Blocks 106 and 108 of Registered Plan 43M-728, be</li> <li>received for information.</li> </ul>
<b>BACKGROUND:</b>	The subject lands which are commonly known as the Madill Farm represent the last phase of the original red line revised Draft Plan of Subdivision approved subject to conditions on January 28, 1988, under file T-M86088 W4. Subsequent amendments to the Conditions of Draft Approval have been issued on July 21, 2004 and July 12, 2005.
COMMENTS:	Details of the proposal are as follows:

Development Pr	Development Proposal	
Applications submitted:	April 16, 2008	
Number of	270 Semi-Detached Dwellings	
Units:	31 Detached Dwellings	
Total	301 units	
Maximum	10.7 m (35 ft.), all units	
Building		
Height:		
Minimum Front	4.5 m (14.8 ft.), all units	
Yard	7.0 m (22.9 ft.) to garage face, all units	
Minimum Rear	7.5 m (24.6 ft.) for detached dwelling	
Yard	lots abutting the west limit of the	
	subdivision	
	7.0 m (22.9 ft.), for all other detached	
	and semi-detached dwellings lots	
	internal to the subdivision	

### Planning and Development Committee - 3 -

Development Proposal	
Lot Coverage:	Detached Dwellings - 45%
	Semi-Detached Dwellings - 48%
Net Density:	37.21 units/ha
	(15.05 units/acre)
Minimum	Detached Dwellings - 1.2 m (3.9 ft.) on
Side Yard:	one side and 0.61 m (2.0 ft.) on the other
	side
	Semi-Detached Dwellings - 0.9 m
	(2.95 ft.) on unattached side
Minimum	Semi-Detached Dwellings - 25% of the
Landscaped	lot area
Area:	
Anticipated	Detached Dwellings - 103 persons
Population*:	Semi-Detached Dwellings - 887 persons
	*Average household sizes for all units
	(by type) for the year 2011 (city average)
	based on the 2005 Growth Forecasts for
	the City of Mississauga
Supporting	Planning Justification Report, April 2008
Documents:	Traffic Impact Review, April 2008
	Noise Feasibility Study, April 2008
	Archaeological Assessment, May 2008
	Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan

Site Characteristics	
Frontage:	253.0 m (830 ft.) along Eglinton Ave.W.
(approx.)	440.0 m (1,443 ft.) along Confederation
	Parkway
	76.0 m (249 ft.) along Huntington Ridge
	Drive
Depth:	253.44 m (831.49 ft.)
Gross Lot Area	11.11 ha (27.45 ac.)
Net Lot Area:	8.09 ha (19.99 ac.)
Existing Use:	Farm related buildings and secondary
	structures

Additional information is provided in Appendices I-1 to I-10.

#### **Neighbourhood Context**

The subject property is located on the south side of Eglinton Avenue West, west of Confederation Parkway. The site is located in a Residential District and forms part of an approved red line revised Draft Plan of Subdivision. This subdivision has been registered in phases. The first phase is the portion south of Huntington Ridge Drive, west of Confederation Parkway and was registered on January 25, 2006. The community park on the north side of Huntington Ridge Drive, forming part of the subdivision, was dedicated to the City as part of the parkland requirements. The second phase, on the east side of Confederation Parkway, was registered on August 28, 2007 and includes the residential lands immediately south of the convenience commercial block at the southeast corner of Eglinton Avenue West and Confederation Parkway. The property is generally flat and free of any woodlot and does not contain any environmentally sensitive uses. Additional information regarding the history of the site has been included in Appendix I-1.

The surrounding land uses are described as follows:

North:	Street townhouses and a gas bar on the north side of	
	Eglinton Avenue West	
East:	Detached dwellings and proposed convenience	
	commercial plaza under file OZ 07/014 W4	
South:	Detached dwellings and community park	
West:	Detached dwellings and community park	

### Current Mississauga Plan Designation and Policies for Hurontario District (May 5, 2003)

"**Residential Medium Density I**" which permits townhouse developments at a density of 25-50 units per net residential hectare (10-20 units per net residential acre).

"**Residential Low Density II**" which permits detached, semidetached, street townhouses and duplex dwellings within a net density range of 18-50 units per net residential hectare (7-20 units per net residential acre). The Official Plan also designates Desborough Drive between Guildwood Way and Huntington Ridge Drive as a minor collector road.

- 5 -

An excerpt of the Hurontario District Land Use Map has been included in Appendix I-3.

There are other policies in the Official Plan that are also applicable in the review of these applications, including urban design policies, that are set out in Appendix I-9. Land use compatibility with the surrounding neighbourhood and conformity with the goals and objectives of Mississauga Plan policies will be reviewed prior to completion of the Supplementary Report.

### **Proposed Official Plan Designation and Policies**

"**Residential Low Density II**" to permit detached, semi-detached, street townhouses and duplex dwellings within a net density range of 18-50 units per net residential hectare (7-20 units per net residential hectare), and remove the Residential Medium Density I designation.

"**Public Open Space**" to add additional lands to an approved community park adjoining the subject lands.

"Local Road Classification" to delete the minor collector classification of Desborough Drive between Guildwood Way and Huntington Ridge Drive by amending the proposed road network.

### **Existing Zoning**

"R4" (Detached Dwellings) and "R4-20" (Detached Dwellings) which permit detached dwellings on lots with minimum frontages of 12 m (39 ft.) and minimum lot areas of 365 m<sup>2</sup> (3,929 sq. ft.) and 360 m<sup>2</sup> (3,875 sq. ft.), respectively.

"R5" (Detached Dwellings) which permits detached dwellings on lots with minimum frontages of 9.75 m (39 ft.) and minimum lot areas of 295  $m^2$  (3,175 sq. ft.).

"RM4-1" (Townhouse Dwellings and Street Townhouse Dwellings) which permits townhouse dwellings on minimum lot frontages of 30 m (98.4 ft.) and minimum lot area per dwelling unit of 200 m<sup>2</sup> (2,153 sq. ft.), and street townhouse dwellings on lots with minimum frontages of 6.8 m (22.3 ft.) and minimum lot areas of 200 m<sup>2</sup> (2,153 sq. ft.).

#### **Proposed Zoning By-law Amendment**

- 6 -

"R5 - Exception" (Detached Dwellings) to permit detached dwellings on lots with minimum frontages of 9.75 m (39 ft.) and minimum lot areas of 295 m<sup>2</sup> (3,175 sq. ft.) including corner lots with minimum frontages of 13.5 m (44.2 ft.) and minimum lot areas of 415 m<sup>2</sup> (4,467 sq. ft.).

"**RM2 - Exception**" to permit detached dwellings on lots with minimum frontages of 9.75 m (32 ft.) and minimum lot areas of 295 m<sup>2</sup> (3,175 sq. ft.) and semi-detached dwellings on lots with minimum frontages of 6.8 m (22.3 ft.) and minimum lot areas of 200 m<sup>2</sup> (2,153 sq. ft.).

"OS1" (Community Park) to permit a community park use by adding a parcel of land to the adjoining community park.

The proposed amendments are shown in detail in Appendix I-4. The proposed zoning standards (exceptions) are detailed within Appendix I-10 along with the general base zone requirements.

### **COMMUNITY ISSUES**

A community meeting was held by Ward 4 Councillor, Frank Dale on May 22, 2008. The following is a summary of issues raised by the Community:

### Comment

There were comments raised regarding the amount of traffic which currently exists along Guildwood Way to access Eglinton Avenue West, and the concern of increased traffic from the proposed development.

#### Response

- 7 -

The two access points proposed under the revised plan are at the same location as under the previously approved plan under file T-M86088 W4. A Traffic Impact Study was submitted with the application and will be reviewed by the Transportation and Works Department. Any comments from staff will be provided in the Supplementary Report.

#### Comment

Residents inquired into the proposed layout of the park, with soccer field, playground, and bocce court, and how this was determined.

#### Response

The Community Services Department undertakes the programming of parks throughout the City, and determined there was a demand for the proposed facilities.

#### **DEVELOPMENT ISSUES**

Agency comments are summarized in Appendix I-7 and school accommodation information is contained in Appendix I-8. Based on the comments received and the applicable Mississauga Plan policies a land use planning review will be carried out prior to the completion of the Supplementary Report which will include an analysis of the following matters:

- noise attenuation;
- traffic impact review;
- impact of existing easements; and
- assessment of the proposed reverse lot condition.

## **OTHER INFORMATION**

### **Development Requirements**

	The documents listed in the Comments section of this report submitted in support of the applications have been reviewed, comments have been provided to the applicant, and additional information has been requested. Prior to the finalization of the Supplementary Report, all outstanding comments will have to be addressed.
	In conjunction with the proposed development, there are certain other engineering matters which will require the applicant to enter into the appropriate agreements with the City, the details of which will be dealt with during the processing of the plan of subdivision under file T-M08001 W4, if the applications are approved.
FINANCIAL IMPACT:	Development charges will be payable in keeping with the requirements of the applicable Development Charges By-law of the City as well as financial requirements of any other official agency concerned with the development of the lands.
CONCLUSION:	Most agency and City department comments have been received and after the public meeting has been held and all outstanding concerns are resolved, the Planning and Building Department will be in a position to make a recommendation regarding these applications.
ATTACHMENTS:	Appendix I-1 - Site History Appendix I-2 - Aerial Photograph Appendix I-3 - Excerpt of Hurontario District Land Use Map Appendix I-4 - Excerpt of Existing Land Use Map Appendix I-5 - Approved Draft Plan of Subdivision Appendix I-6 - Proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision Appendix I-7 - Agency Comments Appendix I-8 - School Accommodation

Planning and Development Committee

- 9 -

Appendix I-9 - Relevant Mississauga Plan Policies Appendix I-10 - Zoning Requirements and Proposed Standards Appendix I-11 - General Context Map

original signed by

Edward R. Sajecki Commissioner of Planning and Building

Prepared By: Haig Yeghouchian, Development Planner

 $K: \label{eq:label} K: \label{eq:label} K: \label{eq:label} WPDATA \label{eq:label} PDC1 \label{eq:label} OZ08005 infore portHY. \label{eq:label} doc \label{eq:label} doc \label{eq:label} doc \label{eq:label} A \label{eq:label} K: \label{eq:label} A \label{A$ 

### Site History

- January 28, 1988 Draft Plan of Subdivision, under File 21T-M86088 W4 comprised of 371 detached dwellings lots, a townhouse block with 97 units, a commercial block, a park block, residential reserve blocks and road widening blocks was approved subject to conditions by the Region of Peel.
- October 11, 1988 City Council approved a rezoning application under File OZ 86/134 W4 to rezone the subject lands in accordance with draft approved plan of subdivision under File 21T-M86088 W4.
- May 5, 2003 Mississauga Plan except for matters under appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board, came into full force and effect. The appeals were unrelated to the subject lands and, therefore, the new policies in Mississauga Plan applied to the site.
- July 21, 2004 Amendments to the Conditions of Approval were issued for the Draft Plan of Subdivision under File T-M86088 W4.
- November 18, 2004 A demolition permit was issued by the City under File 04/4244 for the farm house.
- July 12, 2005 Amendments to the Conditions of Approval were issued for the Draft Plan of Subdivision under File T-M86088 W4.
- Aug 21, 2007 A demolition permit was issued by the City under File 07/4653 for two barns on the subject lands.
- September 13, 2007 The Committee of Adjustment approved consent applications to create seven lots for detached dwellings with frontages on Huntington Ridge Drive under Files 'B' 72/07 to 'B' 78/07 inclusive. These applications allowed the development of the lots at the northwest quadrant of Huntington Ridge Drive and Confederation Parkway prior to the development of the subject lands.











### File: OZ 08/005 W4 T-M08001 W4

### **Agency Comments**

The following is a summary of comments from agencies and departments regarding the application.

Agency / Comment Date	Comment
Region of Peel (May 8, 2008)	Municipal sanitary sewer facilities consist of a 250 mm (9.8 in.) diameter sewer on Huntington Ridge Drive and a 250 mm (9.8 in.) diameter sewer on Desborough Drive.
	Municipal water facilities consist of a 300 mm (12 in.) diameter watermain on Huntington Ridge Drive and a 200 mm (8 in.) diameter watermain on Desborough Drive.
	The Region of Peel has no objection to the approval of these applications and has advised that the proposed development does not require an amendment to the Regional Official Plan.
Credit Valley Conservation (May 20, 2008)	This agency is reviewing the storm water management information for the subject lands and will provide comments upon completing the technical review.
Greater Toronto Airport Authority (May 7, 2008)	The subject property lies within the 25-28 NEF/NEP of the composite contour map for the Toronto Pearson International Airport. According to the Airport Zoning Regulations, the proposed development elevations on the property are not affected by any airport restrictions.
Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board (May 12, 2008)	The Board has advised that there is no available capacity to accommodate students generated by these applications. Accordingly, the Board has requested that in the event that the applications are approved, the standard school accommodation condition in accordance with City of Mississauga Resolution 152-98, adopted by Council on May 27, 1998 be applied. Among other things, this condition requires that a development application include the following as a condition of approval:
	"Prior to final approval, the City of Mississauga shall be advised by the School Boards that satisfactory arrangements regarding the adequate provision and distribution of educational facilities have been made between the

	T-M08001 W4
Agency / Comment Date	Comment
	developer/applicant and the School Boards for this plan." The Board has also requested that warning clauses with respect to temporary school accommodation and transportation arrangements be included in any servicing and/or development agreements.
	The developer will also be required to post and maintain information signs warning prospective purchasers that students from the subdivision may be accommodated in temporary facilities or bused to schools.
Peel District School Board (April 24, 2008)	The Board has requested that warning clauses with respect to temporary school accommodation and transportation arrangements be included in any servicing and/or development agreements.
	The developer will also be required to post and maintain information signs warning prospective purchasers that students from the subdivision may be accommodated in temporary facilities or bused to schools.
City Community Services Department - Planning, Development and Business Services Division (May 23, 2008)	In comments dated May 23, 2008, it was indicated that the Community Services Department has been working with the applicant and will continue to finalize details on the parkland and walkway to enhance the original design and size of Madill Common (P-340).
	Prior to the Supplementary report the applicant shall continue to investigate the potential of relocating Walkway Block 167 west of its current location to better allow for it to line up with Street A's north-south sidewalk; shall revise the submitted plan to include a new parkland block to be located at the rear of lots 11 to 14 that regularizes the parkland and residential property line; shall confirm whether there is a requirement to locate utility cabinets (i.e. Hydro/Bell) on the subject lands and if required, identify the locations on the Draft Plan of Subdivision as a separate block(s); shall prepare a concept plan for Madill Common (P-340) that addresses the proposed additional parkland and walkway; and receive clearance from the Ministry of Culture for the archaeological survey of the subject lands.

File:	OZ 08/005 W4
	T-M08001 W4

	T-M08001 W4
Agency / Comment Date	Comment
	Prior to Pre-Servicing, hoarding shall be provided along the property boundary where the plan abuts Madill Common (P-340) including the lands at the rear of Lots 11 through 14 that regularizes the property boundary.
	With the First Engineering Submission, a master plan for all buffer plantings shall be submitted and receive approval; shall submit and receive approval for a revised master plan for Madill Common (P-340) that addresses the proposed additional parkland and walkway.
	With the Second Engineering Submission, shall submit and receive approval for detailed working drawings in accordance with the approved buffer planting plan(s) for cul-de-sacs, crescents, and service roads that abut Buffer Blocks 168 through 173 inclusive; shall submit and receive approval for working drawings for Madill Common (P-340), the additional parkland and the walkway; shall indicate on all drawings the location and conditions associated with the 1.5 metre high, black vinyl chainlink fence to be built to current municipal standards, between the park block and subject property.
	Through the Servicing and Development agreements the applicant shall prepare warning clauses pertaining to the provision of Community Services Department requirements.
	Prior to Registration, shall provide securities for hoarding, buffer planting, fencing, as-built drawings, parkland cleanup, park protection; provide contributions towards tree planting, trail signage; provide processing fees for park development and streetcape works; shall deed to the City the additional parkland and walkway; and shall provide the City with a certified cheque to cover the under-dedication of 0.14 ha (0.35 ac.) of parkland, based upon the current per acre rate land costs at the time of registration.
City Transportation and Works Department (May 20, 2008)	Comments dated May 15, 2008 indicate that a Noise Report and Traffic Impact Study, dated April 11, 2008 have been received and are currently under review. Detailed

Agency / Comment Date	Comment
	comments/conditions will be provided prior the supplementary meeting pending the review of the foregoing.
	Prior to a Supplementary Report proceeding to Council, the applicant will be required to address the conflict with an existing storm sewer and the proposed acoustical barrier at the northeast corner of the site. Further detailed comments/conditions will be provided prior the supplementary meeting pending the review of the revised Draft Plan of Subdivision to the satisfaction of the City.
	In the event that this application is approved by Council, the owner will be required to make satisfactory arrangements with the City and the Region of Peel for the dedication and construction of roads and municipal services required in support of this development.
Other City Departments and External Agencies	The following City Departments and external agencies offered no objection to these applications provided that all technical matters are addressed in a satisfactory manner:
	Peel Regional Police Services City Community Services Department - Fire Prevention City's Corporate Services Department - Realty Services Enersource Hydro Mississauga Endbrige Pipelines Inc. Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. Credit Valley Hospital Bell Canada Canada Post Corporation Hydro One The following City Departments and external agencies were circulated the applications but provided no comments:
	Sun-Canadian Pipe Line Company Ltd. Imperial Oil - Sarnia Products Pipe Line Union Gas Limited Piplines Trillium Health Centre

Agency / Comment Date	Comment		
	French District Catholic School Board		
	Conseil Scolaire de District Catholique Centre - Sud		
	Conseil Scolaire de District Catholique Centre - Sud Ouest		
	Rogers Cable		

### File: OZ 08/005 W4 T-M08001 W4

### **School Accommodation**

The Peel District School Board			The Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board		
<ul> <li>Student Y 50 24 21</li> <li>School A Huntingto Enrolmer Capacity: Portables</li> </ul>	Field: Kindergarten to Grade 5 Grade 6 to Grade 8 Grade 9 to Grade 12/OAC ccommodation: on Ridge Public School t: 544 618	• •	Student Yield 40 J	unior Kindergarten to Grade 8 Grade 9 to Grade 12/OAC nmodation: 262 165 7	
Enrolmer Capacity: Portables Applewor Enrolmer Capacity: Portables	699 4 od Heights Secondary School t: 1,079 1,284		Enrolment: Capacity: Portables:	2,197 1,500 16	

#### File: OZ 08/005 W4 T-M08001 W4

#### **Relevant Mississauga Plan Policies**

### **Residential Policies (Section 3.2.3)**

Section 3.2.3.1 - Residential lands will be developed to achieve a compact, orderly urban form generally characterized by lower densities in the interior of communities and higher densities along major roads and near concentrations of commercial, community, and transportation facilities.

Section 3.2.3.2 - High quality and innovative residential design will be promoted in a form which reinforces and *enhances* the local community *character*, respects its immediate context and creates a quality living environment. Innovative housing types and zoning standards will be encouraged. Design issues related to built form, scale, massing, orientation, parking, overshadowing, and the quantity and quality of open space will be priorities in assessing the merits of residential *development*. Broader urban design issues related to the creation of an urban street *character*, developing a sense of gateway into a community and highlighting district focal points will also be considered in assessing residential *development*.

Section 3.2.3.4 - Reduction of residential densities will be discouraged.

### **Urban Design Policies (Section 3.18)**

Section 3.18.5.6 - Buildings with direct exposure to Provincial Highways and major roads will be subject to special design controls in order to ensure upgraded building elevations and landscaping.

Section 3.18.5.7 - Frontage service roads will be encouraged as a means of improving noise abatement and quality of *streetscape* aesthetics. Where service roads are not appropriate or cannot be achieved, reversed frontage lots may be permitted.

Section 3.18.5.8 - Reverse frontage lots should be avoided, but may be considered for *infill development* where a street pattern has already been established.

Section 3.18.5.14 - For new residential *development* abutting major roads, appropriate measures will be taken to protect the *development* from traffic noise and to ensure the attractiveness of the thoroughfare. These measures will be established at the time of approval of specific *development* applications.

### File: OZ 08/005 W4 T-M08001 W4

## **Zoning Requirements and Proposed Standards**

### **Development Standards for the "R5 - Exception" Zone**

	Required Zoning	Proposed Standard	
	By-law Standard		
Maximum Lot	40%	45%	
Coverage			
Minimum Front	4.5 m (14.8 ft.)	Same as By-law	
Yard			
Minimum Front	6.0 m (19.7 ft.)	7.0 m (22.9 ft.)	
Yard Setback to			
Front Garage			
Face			
Minimum Side	1.2 m (3.9 ft.) on one side	Same as By-law	
Yard	of the lot and 0.61 m		
	(2.0 ft.) on the other side		
Minimum Rear	7.5 m (24.6 ft.)	Same as By-law	
Yard			
Maximum	10.7 m (35 ft.)	Same as By-law	
Building Height:			
Maximum	6.0 m (19.7 ft.)	Same as By-law	
Driveway Width			

### Development Standards for the "RM2 - Exception" Zone

	<b>Required Zoning</b>		Proposed Standard		
	By-law Standard				
	Detached	Semi-	Detached	Semi-Detached	
	Dwellings -	Detached	Dwellings	Dwellings	
	"R5" Zone	Dwellings			
	Regulations				
Maximum	40%	45%	45%	48%	
Lot					
Coverage					
Minimum	4.5 m	4.5 m (ft.)	Same as	Same as By-law	
Front Yard	(14.8 ft.)	(14.8 ft.)	By-law		

	Required Zoning		Proposed Standard		
	<b>By-law Stand</b>	lard	-		
Minimum	6.0 m	6.0 m	7.0 m	7.0 m	
Setback to	(19.7 ft.)	(19.7 ft.)	(22.9 ft.)	(22.9 ft.)	
Front					
Garage Face					
Minimum	1.2 m	0.9 m	Same as	Same as	
Side Yard	(3.9 ft.) on	(2.9 ft.)	By-law	By-law	
	one side and				
	0.61 m				
	(2.0 ft.) on				
	the other				
Minimum	7.5 m	7.5 m	7.0 m	7.0 m	
Rear Yard	(24.6 ft.)	(24.6 ft.)	(22.9 ft.)	(22.9 ft.)	
Maximum	10.7 m	10.7 m	Same as	Same as	
Building	(35 ft.)	(35 ft.)	By-law	By-law	
Height:					
Minimum	No	25 % of Lot	No provision	Same as	
Landscaped	provision	Area		By-law	
Area					
Maximum	$2 \text{ m}^2$	$2 \text{ m}^2$	$12 \text{ m}^2$	$6.0 \text{ m}^2$	
Balcony	(21.5 sq. ft.)	(21.5 sq. ft.)	(129.2 sq. ft.)	(64.6 sq. ft.)	
Area Above					
Attached					
Garage:					
Maximum	6.0 m	5.2 m	Same as	Same as	
Driveway	(19.6 ft.)	(17.0 ft.)	By-law	By-law	
Width					

