

Clerk's Files

Originator's Files

CD. 03. MIN

DATE:	February 26, 2008
TO:	Chair and Members of Planning and Development Committee Meeting Date: March 17, 2008
FROM:	Edward R. Sajecki Commissioner of Planning and Building
SUBJECT:	Report on Comments – Proposed Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan – Special Site 2 Policies - Mineola District Policies

RECOMMENDATION:	1. That the following recommendation of the report titled "Report on Comments – Proposed Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan – Special Site 2 Policies – Mineola District Policies" dated February 26, 2008 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building, be adopted.
	2. That Section 4.24.7.3, Site 2, Mineola District Policies, as amended, in Mississauga Plan, be deleted and replaced by Revised Draft Official Plan Amendment 62, Special Site 2 - Mineola District Policies, attached as Appendix 4 to the report titled "Report on Comments – Proposed Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan – Special Site 2 Policies – Mineola District Policies" dated February 26, 2008 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building."
BACKGROUND:	City Council, on February 14, 2007 considered the attached report (Appendix 1) titled "Proposed Zoning By-law Amendments and Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan – Special Site 2 Policies – Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan" dated January 16, 2007 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building and adopted the following recommendation (in part):

PDC-0010-2007

"1. That the submissions made at the public meeting held on February 5, 2007 to consider the report titled, "Proposed Zoning By-law Amendments and Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan – Special Site 2 Policies – Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan" dated January 16, 2007 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building be received.

2. That the Planning and Building Department staff report back on the submissions made with respect to "Proposed Zoning By-law Amendments and Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan – Special Site 2 Policies – Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan."

Planning and Building Department staff have considered all of the submissions and comments received on Proposed Zoning By-law Amendments and Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan – Special Site 2 Policies – Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan, and prepared recommendations to address specific issues, where appropriate (see Appendix 2). As a result of the public consultation process and further staff review, amendments to the Zoning By-law are no longer proposed. The revised recommendations also incorporate recent amendments to Mississauga Plan made through OPA 25 and Zoning Bylaw 0225-2007.

COMMENTS 1. <u>Consultation Process</u>

An open house and public display of the proposed official plan and zoning amendments was held on January 24, 2007 at the Cawthra Seniors Centre and attended by 22 persons.

The statutory public meeting to fulfill the requirements of the *Planning Act*, was held by the Planning and Development Committee on February 5, 2007, and attended by 23 persons. Four written public submissions were also received at the meeting. During the public meeting it was also noted that some of the Hurontario Street property owners had recently formed a ratepayers association, the Hurontario Ratepayers Association or HRA, to evaluate the proposed amendments from a business perspective.

The area residents represented by the Credit Reserve Association (CRA) and the Hurontario Ratepayers Association (HRA), participated in a focus group to reach consensus on the proposed zoning and official plan amendments. Other residents who did not belong to either organized group, also attended and participated in the round table discussions.

Focus group meetings were held in 2007 on the following days: February 26, March 28, April 18, May 23, and September 20, 2007. Each session dealt in detail with specific issues raised by the stakeholders through their examination of the proposed amendments. Staff facilitated the meetings and provided relevant planning information, expertise and analytical services to the group. Approximately 15 to 23 persons attended each session.

2. Official Plan Amendment 25 and Zoning By-law 0225-2007

During the timeframe of the focus group discussions, Official Plan Amendment 25 and the new comprehensive Zoning By-law were adopted by City Council.

OPA 25 modified the existing Mississauga Plan policies for Special Site 2 in the Mineola District by introducing the following new driveway access policy for developments located at intersections -*"In the case of corner lots, access to the minor streets is to be discouraged, and where technically feasible, access will be permitted on Hurontario Street."*

The City's new comprehensive Zoning By-law 0225-2007 introduced as-of-right zoning permission for additional uses in residential zones which includes the Special Site 2 area. Home occupations are now permitted in residential zones City-wide and daycares are allowed along Hurontario Street because of its function as an arterial road. The new Zoning By-law also limits permission for existing medical offices in Special Site 2 to *medical office–restricted* uses. The latter does not permit accessory medical uses such as laboratories and drug dispensary facilities.

The effective dates for By-law 0225-2007 and OPA 25 are June 20, 2007 and September 10, 2007, respectively, save and except for pending site specific appeals.

3. <u>Summary of Focus Group Deliberations</u>

The focus group, consisting of various affected stakeholders, had extensive meetings with staff on detailed aspects of the policies. These discussions concluded with some compromises between the residents and the Hurontario Street property owners but also a general consensus on the following issues:

- that the residential appearance of buildings within the Special Site 2 area be maintained;
- that an appropriate landscape buffer be provided and maintained along the Hurontario Street and side street frontages to screen parking areas and enhance the streetscape;
- that the proposed requirement for open space in the front yard (50% of the front yard) be reduced;
- that office parking not be allowed in the rear yards of the Hurontario Street properties;
- that retail and personal service commercial uses continue to be prohibited;
- that multiple residential apartments not be considered at this time;
- that medical and real estate offices not be subject to a maximum gross floor area cap of 250 m² (2,691 sq. ft.);
- and that site development standards not be included in the Zoning By-law and instead be incorporated into the Special Site 2 policies, and be applied when evaluating development proposals.

4. <u>Planning Comments</u>

The original report on Proposed Zoning By-law Amendments and Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan – Special Site 2 Policies – Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan was prepared in response to City Council's direction to conduct a scoped review of the Mineola District Policies for the Special Site 2 area on Hurontario Street, south of the Queen Elizabeth Way. The intent of the review was to further clarify the applicable Mississauga Plan policies and to address community concerns associated with recent development; namely, streetscape impacts, the establishment of unintended land uses, the loss of residential uses, how to address parking issues and the need for consistent site development standards.

After further analysis and extensive discussion and consultation with the community, staff are satisfied that the foregoing modifications to the draft policies will achieve the desired outcome; namely, a clarification of the interpretation of the policies and a more consistent application of site development standards. The revised wording changes proposed for OPA 62 are consistent with terms used in Zoning By-law 0225-2007 and will facilitate implementation of the policies.

Staff are still of the opinion that additional residential units could be accommodated on individual properties within the Mineola Special Site 2 area to better balance residential and office uses on Hurontario Street. However, given the ongoing Hurontario Street Higher-Order Transit Study, it is appropriate to postpone the introduction of additional residential units until that study has been completed. Consequently, this report is no longer recommending that apartment units be introduced through an area-wide zoning amendment.

Staff had some reservations about removing the proposed 250 m² (2, 691 sq. ft.) gross floor area cap on medical and real estate offices given the desire to limit more intense office uses and associated parking-related impacts in the study area. The revised interpretation of *medical office*, as it applies to the Special Site 2 area, excludes accessory medical office uses such as laboratories and effectively limits the intensity of uses at medical offices.

The proposed minimum landscape buffer and open space requirements will ensure that there is a greater balance between the need to accommodate office parking and maintain a high quality streetscape that complements the surrounding residential community. Based on an analysis of existing properties and the removal of parking permission in the rear yard, it is appropriate to reduce the proposed requirement for minimum open space from 50% to 40% of the front yard.

As the implementation of the Special Site 2 policies is proposed to continue on a site-by-site basis, it is appropriate to introduce measurable standards into the Official Plan policies that provide further clarification and guidance for the evaluation of redevelopment proposals. The standards are not meant to serve as a Zoning By-law but rather lay the foundation for a site-specific zoning for new developments. The originally proposed area-wide zoning amendments aimed at creating consistent development standards are therefore, no longer necessary.

The following major changes are proposed to the Draft Special Site 2 Policies. Specific details of the revisions are contained in Appendix 2:

- clarification of various policy interpretation issues by revising wording, where appropriate;
- incorporation of all relevant recent amendments associated with OPA 25 to Mississauga Plan and Zoning By-law 0225-2007;
- removal of the proposed permission for multiple apartment units, but continue to permit residential and mixed residential-office uses;
- introduction of various measures to reduce excessive onsite hard surface areas and enhance streetscapes;
- removal of the proposed 250 m² (2,691 sq. ft.) gross floor area cap on medical and real estate offices; and
- the introduction of additional measurable site development requirements to be used in the evaluation of new development applications.

A Comparison of the Original and Revised Version of Official Plan Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan – Special Site 2 Mineola District Policies, is included as Appendix 3. The revised Special Site 2 policies proposed for Amendment 62 are contained in Appendix 4.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: Not applicable.

CONCLUSION:

This scoped review of the Special Site 2 Policies has determined that the general intent of the Special Site 2 policies continues to remain valid. Where appropriate, the draft policies have been revised to address the issues raised by the various stakeholders to clarify the interpretation and application of the policies. The revisions have been developed through an extensive public consultation process which has resulted in a general consensus and staff are in agreement with the proposed modifications.

The revised policies will continue to permit both residential and limited office uses in a manner that is compatible with the surrounding community. The proposed amendments ensure that the policies are clear and can be applied appropriately to guide new development.

ATTACHMENTS: APPENDIX 1: Report Titled "Proposed Zoning By-law Amendments and Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan – Special Site 2 Policies – Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan" dated January 16, 2007 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building.
APPENDIX 2: Response to Comments - Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan -Proposed Amendments to Special Site 2 Mineola District Policies.
APPENDIX 3: Comparison of Original and Revised Version of OPA 62 to Mississauga Plan - Special Site 2 Mineola District Policies.
APPENDIX 4 Revised Draft Official Plan Amendment 62, Special Site 2 - Mineola District Policies.

Original Signed By:

Edward R. Sajecki Commissioner of Planning and Building

Prepared By: Paulina Mikicich, Planner, Long Range Planning

K:\PLAN\POLICY\GROUP\2008 Districts\Mineola\Mineolareportsoncomments.doc



Clerk's Files

Originator's Files CD.03.MIN (Mineola)

PDC FEB 05 2007

DATE:	January 16, 2007	
TO:	Chair and Members of Planning and Development Committee Meeting Date: February 5, 2007	
FROM:	Edward R. Sajecki Commissioner of Planning and Building	
SUBJECT:	Proposed Zoning By-law Amendments and Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan - Special Site 2 Policies – Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan - PUBLIC MEETING	
RECOMMENDATION:	 That the submissions made at the public meeting held at the Planning and Development Committee meeting on February 5, 2007 to consider the report titled "Proposed Zoning By-law Amendments and Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan – Special Site 2 Policies – Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan" dated January 16, 2007 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building, be received. 	
	 That Planning and Building Department staff report back on the submissions made with respect to "Proposed Zoning By-law Amendments and Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan - Special Site 2 Policies – Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan". 	
COMMENTS:	On December 13, 2006, City Council considered the report titled "Proposed Amendments to Special Site 2 – Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan" (see Appendix 1) and adopted the recommendation that a public meeting be held to consider amendments to the Special Site 2 policies – Mineola District Policies	

of Mississauga Plan. The proposed amendments will permit, in addition to detached dwellings and offices, up to four apartment units either alone or in combination with offices or home occupations. The maximum permitted size of medical and real estate offices is proposed to be reduced from a gross floor area of 420 m² (4,521 sq. ft.) to 250 m^2 (2,691 sq. ft.). Further clarification of policy objectives for land use, built form, open space and parking are also proposed as part of Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan.

Amendments are also proposed for the following site development zoning standards: minimum yard (setback) and open space requirements, and maximum gross floor area, height and lot coverage requirements.

An informal open house and public display of the proposed policies and site development zoning standards is scheduled for January 24, 2007.

The public meeting, scheduled for Planning and Development Committee on February 5, 2007, is the statutory public meeting to fulfill the requirements of the *Planning Act*. Its purpose is to provide an opportunity to the public to make submissions to Planning and Development Committee on the "Proposed Zoning By-law Amendments and Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan - Special Site 2 Policies – Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan".

Planning and Building Department staff will report back to City Council on all the comments received, including submissions made at the public meeting, with revised recommendations, where appropriate.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: Not Applicable

CONCLUSION: After the public meeting is held and all issues are addressed, the Planning and Building Department will be in a position to make recommendations with respect to the "Proposed Zoning By-law Amendments and Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan - Special Site 2 Policies - Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan".

CD.03.MIN January 16, 2007

ATTACHMENTS: APPENDIX 1: Corporate Report titled "Proposed Amendments to Special Site 2 – Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan" dated November 14, 2006 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building.

Original Signed By:

Edward R. Sajecki Commissioner of Planning and Building

Prepared By: Paulina Mikicich, Planner, Long Range Planning

K:\PLAN\POLICY\GROUP\2007 Districts\Mineola\SpecialSite2OPA-Mineola District Policy-Public Mtg.doc



Clerk's Files

Originator's Files CD.03.MIN

PDC DEC 5 2006

DATE:	November 14, 2006
TO:	Chair and Members of Planning and Development Committee Meeting Date: December 5, 2006
FROM:	Edward R. Sajecki Commissioner of Planning and Building
SUBJECT:	Proposed Amendments to Special Site 2 - Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan
RECOMMENDATION	 That a public meeting be held at the Planning and Development Committee to consider the following recommendations in the report titled "Proposed Amendments to Special Site 2 - Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan" dated November 14, 2006 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building: 1. That Section 4.24.7.3, Site 2, Mineola District Policies, be amended by deleting subsections a. through e. and replacing them with the following, and renumbering subsections f. and g. as h. and i., respectively: "a. The following uses will also be permitted: offices detached dwellings in combination with office uses or home occupations a maximum of four apartment units a maximum of three apartment units in combination with office uses; retail commercial uses will not be permitted;

- CD.03. MIN November 14, 2006
- all buildings, including signage, whether new or modified will have a residential appearance which is compatible with the form, design, and scale of the surrounding residential area;
- c. the use must be of a nature and intensity that results in a low parking demand and does not negatively impact the function of Hurontario Street nor abutting local roads. In this regard, applicants for rezoning will be required to demonstrate through the submission of traffic and parking impact studies and business operation information, that the proposed use is suitable for its intended location;
- d. all required office related parking will be accommodated primarily within the front and side yards and with a minimal loss of vegetation. Alternative parking locations may be considered for apartments, and to address tree preservation issues, traffic safety matters or conflicts with underground utilities.

In exceptional circumstances, office parking may be considered in the rear yard provided there is no detrimental impact to the abutting residential properties. In this regard the applicant will be required to demonstrate that the following criteria have been addressed: appropriate landscaped screening of the parking area, overlook conditions and compatibility with adjacent rear yards in terms of grading, drainage, noise and lighting impacts.

- e. the Hurontario Street and side street frontages are required to be enhanced with extensive landscaping to visually screen the street. On-site surface parking areas are not permitted to dominate the streetscape and must be suitably screened with a landscaped buffer of a sufficient size and configuration to sustain plants and trees;
- f. the maximum permitted gross floor area for new or modified buildings is 190 m² (2,045 sq. ft.) plus 20% of the lot area. The maximum permitted gross floor area for a medical office or a real estate office in a new or modified

Planning and Development	Committee	- 3 -	CD.03. MIN November 14, 2006
		building is 250 m ² (2,691 sc a total gross floor area of 42	I. ft.). No building will exceed 20 m^2 (4, 521 sq. ft.);
	g.	-	will not exceed 25% of the lot ding height will not exceed two
	Appen Specia dated I Buildin	ng be applied in the review o	Proposed Amendments to
REPORT SUMMARY:	Mineola D in the cont area. The non-reside related site	ext of existing policy and the report evaluates the appropri- ntial uses in response to com	2 and examines development e original objectives for this ateness of specific office and
BACKGROUND:	concerns re conversion Mineola D medical of Plan objec residential proposed u which are administra	egarding the cumulative imp as from residential to office un strict. In their opinion, spece fices) because of their intens tives to minimize hard surface appearance. There have also	ises in Special Site 2 in the cific types of offices, (i.e. ity of use, conflict with Official ced areas and maintain a o been concerns regarding other re and a medical therapy office siness, professional and
	on Official Holdings I Planning a	Plan amendment and rezoninc. (file: OZ 05/036 W1) fro	om the Commissioner of ness centre at 1489 Hurontario

recommended that the applications be deferred to allow staff to

undertake a scoped review of official plan policies for Special Site 2 of the Mineola District (Appendix 1) and that the terms of reference be brought back to the next PDC meeting.

On July 5, 2006, City Council considered a report from the Commissioner of Planning and Building titled "Terms of Reference -Review of Special Site 2 Policies - Mineola Planning District" and adopted the following recommendation:

"PDC-0067-2006

That the terms of reference for the review of the Special Site 2 Policies in the Mineola Planning District attached as Appendix 1 to the report titled "Terms of Reference - Review of Special Site 2 Policies - Mineola Planning District" dated June 6, 2006 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building, be adopted."

The Draft Terms of Reference are attached as Appendix 2 to this report.

There are six active official plan amendment and/or rezoning applications at various stages of processing within the study area (see Appendices 3 and 4). Two have been appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) on the basis that City Council has not yet made a decision on their merits.

A pre-hearing conference has been scheduled for January 16, 2007 for rezoning application file: OZ 05/025 W1 and a related site plan application (file: SP 04/174 W1) Natalia Zimochad, to permit a medical therapy office at 1484 Hurontario Street.

On January 17, 2007, a pre-hearing conference is also scheduled for official plan amendment and rezoning application (file: OZ 05/036 W1), Dupal Holdings Inc., to permit a wellness centre at 1489 Hurontario Street.

COMMENTS:

1. Study Area

The study area is located along the east and west sides of Hurontario Street, south of the Queen Elizabeth Way as shown in Appendix 5. It is identified as Special Site 2 in the Mineola District Policies and consists of 52 properties. Detailed information on the study area characteristics and observations is contained in Appendix 6.

2. Purpose of the Study

This study responds to the concerns of the community and provides greater clarity on the land use policy objectives for Special Site 2 in the Mineola District. The policies discussed in this report, if adopted, will apply to all future development proposals but not to existing applications in accordance with past decisions of the OMB.

3. Special Site 2 - Planning Vision

Planning policies for Special Site 2 have evolved over the last 20 years through consultation with the community, Credit Reserve Association and Hurontario Street property owners (see Appendix 7). Over the last 20 years, while the specific wording has been modified and some of the urban design criteria have been incorporated into the Official Plan as objective performance standards, the basic intent of the official plan policies for the subject area has remained the same - to continue to allow residential uses, conversions of existing residential dwellings or redevelopments of individual parcels for business, professional or administrative offices, provided such development complies with special requirements to ensure that the uses are visually and functionally compatible with the surrounding residential development.

Conversions from residential to office uses have been permitted on a case-by-case basis through the approval of development applications. This vision and approach to dealing with land use change continues to remain valid. Proposals for rezoning must demonstrate compliance with all applicable official plan policies including the Special Site 2 polices.

APPENDIX 1 Page 8

- 5 -

Proposals which do not comply with the Special Site 2 policies require Official Plan amendments. Section 5.3.2 of Mississauga Plan permits City Council to consider site specific Official Plan amendments subject to the applicant demonstrating that the proposed redesignation would not adversely impact or destabilize the achievement of the overall intent, goals and objectives and policies of the Plan nor the development or functioning of the remaining lands which have the same designation, or neighbouring lands. In addition, applications for official plan amendment are also required to stipulate the merits of a proposed amendment in comparison with the existing designation.

4. Public Consultation

In light of recent concerns regarding development trends and proposals in Special Site 2, the Planning and Building Department was requested to undertake a scoped review of the existing Official Plan policies.

On August 1, 2006, a focus group meeting was held with members of the Credit Reserve Association (CRA), Hurontario Task Force (a subcommittee of the CRA), Hurontario Street property owners and residents to obtain input on the study. Twelve persons signed the sign-in sheet but several more residents and property owners were in attendance. The following comments and concerns were raised at the stakeholder meeting and in correspondence received:

- the current Special Site 2 policies are clear and provide adequate future direction for development;
- recent developments along Hurontario Street are not in keeping with the original intent of the Special Site policies;
- greater clarification is needed of the terms "limited impact" and "low intensity";
- retail commercial uses should not be permitted;
- there is an existing retail commercial presence in the area (travel agency, dog grooming services in conjunction with an veterinary office);
- medical offices should be limited to one or two practitioners and offices for chiropractors, naturopaths and therapists of any kind, be prohibited. The latter should be more appropriately located in vacant commercial storefronts on South Service Road. There

should be a similar prohibition against spas, beauty salons and barbershops;

- additional residential uses should be encouraged (i.e. live-work units) to better balance residential and office uses and be more active, from a residential perspective, on weekends;
- residential uses including live-work uses are not appropriate;
- buildings should continue to be limited to a maximum 25% lot coverage requirement and a maximum height of two storeys;
- there are undesirable streetscape impacts associated with a concentration of offices, particularly medical offices, along Hurontario Street and at intersection locations;
- the destruction of "front yards" to accommodate large parking areas is not in keeping with the original plan for the area;
- parking areas should be located at grade in front of the building and be setback from the front yard a minimum of 7.5 m (24.6 ft.) and be subject to site plan controls;
- no parking should be permitted in the side and rear yards; and
- common development standards should be created to ensure that there is greater consistency in the application of site plan criteria.

5. Summary of Planning Issues

Table 1 below provides a summary of the planning issues identified above along with a proposed course of action to address them. Section 6 of this report explains the rationale for proposed modifications.

Table 1 Mineola Special Site 2 Study		
Summary of Planning Issues and Proposed Response		
Planning Issue	Response	
Recent developments along	The overall planning vision for	
Hurontario Street are not in keeping	Special Site 2 continues to remain	
with the original intent of the	valid. This report recommends	
Special Site 2 policies	amendments to the Official Plan	
	policies to provide greater clarity	
	on certain elements of the existing	
	policies.	
Clarification of the terms "limited	For the purposes of Special Site 2, a	
impact" and "low intensity"	use with "limited impact" is defined	
	as a use that results in a low	
	parking demand and which does not	

Planning and Development Committee

- 8 -

CD.03. MIN
November 14, 2006

	negatively impact either the function of Hurontario Street or abutting local roads.
	In applications for rezoning, the applicant will be required to demonstrate through the submission of traffic and parking impact studies and business operation information that the proposed use is suitable for its intended location.
	The term "low intensity" is partially measured in terms of parking demand. A low parking demand is associated with a low intensity. The intensity of a use is also related to the specific business operation on a property and its development impact on abutting lands. Uses with a high parking requirement such as medical and office uses will be limited in size to 250 m ² (2, 691 sq. ft.). This figure has been derived by applying measurable targets for other desired site objectives (see below) i.e. no more than 50% of the front yard to be devoted to paved areas, and determining an on-site parking capacity for uses which have a high parking rate (i.e. medical offices, real estate offices, offices of a health professional or drugless
Retail commercial uses and	practitioner). Retail commercial, which includes
personal service should not be permitted.	personal service uses, are currently not permitted by the Special Site 2 policies since only residential (detached dwellings) and office uses are allowed.
	It is proposed that a policy be added to the Special Site 2 policies

	clarifying that retail commercial,
	which includes personal service
	uses, are not permitted.
Medical offices should be limited to	Medical offices are proposed to be
one or two practitioners and offices	restricted on the basis of floor area
for chiropractors, naturopaths and	(up to 250 m^2 (2,691 sq. ft.)) rather
therapists of any kind should be	than on the basis of number of
prohibited. The latter should be	practitioners. It is difficult to
more appropriately located in	enforce a zoning restriction which
vacant commercial storefronts on	limits the number of employees. In
South Service Road. There should	addition, the parking requirement
be a similar prohibition against	for medical offices is determined on
spas, beauty salons and	the basis of floor area not number
barbershops.	of staff.
	of Staff.
	Offices of a health professional (i.e.
	chiropractors, registered massage
	therapist) and a drugless
	practitioner (i.e. naturopath) are
	proposed to continue to be
	permitted. They would also be
	subject to the same floor area
	restrictions and parking
	requirements as medical offices as
	noted above.
	It is proposed that a policy be added
	to the Special Site 2 policies
	clarifying that retail commercial,
	which includes personal service
	uses, are not permitted.
Additional residential uses should	A limited number of apartments are
be encouraged (i.e. live-work	proposed either alone or in
units).	conjunction with office uses subject
units).	to specific criteria in order to
	encourage the establishment of
	residences and a better balance
	between office and residential uses.
	Home occupations are also
	proposed to be permitted in
	accordance with the Draft
	Mississauga Zoning By-law.

Buildings should continue to be	No changes are proposed to these	
limited to a maximum 25% lot	policies.	
coverage requirement and a		
maximum height of two storeys.		
Cumulative streetscape impacts	The introduction of area-wide	
associated with a concentration of	zoning standards is proposed for the	
office uses.	entire Special Site 2 area. These	
	standards will deal with matters	
	such as building height, maximum	
	floor area, setbacks, minimum	
	landscape buffers, parking	
	locations, lot coverage. These	
	zoning standards are reflective of	
	those for Infill Housing which limit	
	maximum floor area to a proportion	
	of the total site area.	
The destruction of "front yards" to	Area-wide site development zone	
accommodate large parking areas is	standards are proposed in order to	
not in keeping with the original	balance the need to retain a	
plan for the area. Parking areas	residential character and provide	
should be located at grade in front	adequate on-site parking. The	
of the building not in the side yard	parking layout for office uses will	
or at the rear of the property.	be determined through a site	
	specific application for change in	
	use but a minimum of 50% of the	
	front yard is proposed to be	
	provided as landscaped open space	
	under the area-wide zoning. Other	
	alternative parking locations (i.e.	
	rear yard) may be considered in	
	exceptional cases where it can be	
	demonstrated that there are no	
	impacts to abutting properties.	
Signage	Signage is currently regulated by	
	the Mississauga Sign By-law. No	
	changes are proposed.	
L		

CD.03. MIN November 14, 2006

6. Rationale for Proposed Modifications

Since 1985, 23 properties have been rezoned on an individual basis to permit business, professional and administrative offices. These uses are further defined in Appendix 8. Most of these applications have met the existing development criteria in the Official Plan and were supported by staff, the community and City Council. In a few cases, the Ontario Municipal Board ultimately approved the applications for office use.

One advantage of continuing this incremental approach is that each development may proceed through greater public scrutiny and review, particularly when assessing potential traffic and parking impacts. On the other hand, this approach does not provide a consistent set of development standards nor does it adequately address the cumulative impacts of redevelopment such as excessive hard surfaces in the front yards.

In order to respond to these concerns, a consistent implementation process for changes in land use and the establishment of site development standards are proposed to address impacts associated with redevelopment (i.e. building size, intensity of use, parking).

a) Proposed Land Uses

In addition to residential uses (detached dwellings and community uses) and office uses which are currently permitted, it is proposed that up to four apartment units or a maximum of three apartment units be permitted in combination with office uses. The policies are proposed to be modified to clarify that retail commercial and personal service uses are not permitted. In addition, it is proposed that detached dwellings in combination with office uses or home occupations be allowed in accordance with the Proposed Zoning Regulations - Draft Mississauga Zoning By-law (see Appendix 9).

Land uses changes are proposed to continue to be implemented through a site specific rezoning application which permits greater opportunity to address potential impacts, particularly traffic and parking impacts, associated with proposed development.

November 14, 2006

APPENDIX 1 Page 15

b) Office

Business, professional and administrative offices were always envisioned through the evolution of the Special Site 2 policies. A review of the existing developments indicates that the intensity of certain office uses, their traffic impacts and accommodating their required parking are the most problematic issues in Special Site 2.

Many of the desirable residential features of the properties (abundant landscaping and mature trees) have been compromised to provide parking in the front and side yards.

Business, professional and administrative offices are proposed to continue to be permitted subject to limitations on the size of medical and real estate offices, the offices of a health professional and a drugless practitioner up to a maximum 250 m^2 (2, 691 sq. ft.). The rationale for this is based on calculating a carrying capacity for parking in the front and side yards following the achievement of desired site plan goals (i.e. a minimum 50% of the front yard devoted to open space).

c) Residential

According to existing City records, approximately one-third of the properties retain a residential or mixed residential-office use. Consistent with the intent of Mississauga Plan to maintain a residential designation for the Special Site 2 area, and in response to public comments about the need to better balance residential and office uses, it is proposed that a limited number of apartment units be permitted either alone or in combination with office uses, within a single building with the appearance of a detached dwelling.

Expanding the range of permitted residential uses to include a limited number of apartment units will provide greater flexibility to Hurontario Street property owners as well as allowing additional dwelling units at a suitable scale and form. Conditions would be imposed that require the building to maintain the appearance and site characteristics of a detached dwelling. In addition, no dwelling units would be permitted below-grade. As discussed below, conditions would also be imposed limiting the maximum floor area and lot coverage of any building to ensure that other site objectives, such as landscaping, will be achieved.

Staff have concluded that a maximum of four dwelling units or a maximum of three dwelling units in conjunction with offices could be accommodated within the maximum gross floor area of 420 m² (4,521 sq. ft.) assuming a minimum dwelling unit size of approximately 100 m² (1,076 sq. ft.). The effect of allowing a limited number of apartments, either alone or in conjunction with office uses, serves to reduce parking demand on individual sites since residential uses have a low parking demand (1 parking space per unit). This strategy also creates a better balance between office and residential uses.

d) Retail Commercial (and Personal Service) Uses

The original land use vision was to enable residences, specifically detached dwellings and office uses to co-exist harmoniously and be compatible with the adjacent residential area. Retail and personal service uses were not originally contemplated for the Special Site 2 area. They were perceived to be more intense uses and not in keeping with the residential character of the surrounding community. There does not appear to be any public support for personal service uses.

It is proposed that the existing Special Site 2 policies be modified by clarifying that retail commercial, which includes personal service commercial uses, are not permitted.

Amendments to the policies are proposed that clarify which land uses are permitted in accordance with the fundamental vision for the Special Site 2 area. Over the long-term, as the City develops its Growth Plan Strategy in response to Provincial requirements, and studies opportunities for higher-order transit along Hurontario Street, this vision may need to be re-examined. In the interim, applications should continue to be evaluated on a site-by-site basis using relevant official plan policies, and as noted below, be subject to specific site development standards. Any area-wide as-of-right changes in land use should more appropriately be considered at that time.

Considerations of other land uses will only be considered in the context of an official plan amendment subject to the criteria outlined in Section 5.3.2. of Mississauga Plan as noted above.

e) Intensity of Use and Parking

In Special Site 2, the intensity of a use may be measured in terms of parking demand. The greater the demand for parking, the greater frequency or number of visitors to the business establishment.

Table 2			
Comparison of Parking Requirements by Use			
	Zoning By-law 5500	Draft Zoning	
		By-law	
Use	Per 100 m ²	Per 100 m ²	
	(1,076 sq. ft.)	(1,076 sq. ft.)	
	GFA non-residential	GFA non-residential	
Dwelling Unit	1.0 spaces per unit	2.0 spaces per unit	
Day Nursery	1.0 spaces per staff	2.5 spaces	
	member + 1.0 spaces		
	per service vehicle		
Offices*	3.2 spaces	3.2 spaces	
Real Estate Office	4.5 spaces	6.5 spaces	
Other Non-	5.4 spaces	5.4 spaces	
Residential Uses			
Medical Office	6.5 spaces	6.5 spaces **	
Medical Office-	n/a	6.5 spaces	
Restricted			
Medical Building	6.5 spaces **	n/a	
Drugless	6.5 spaces	6.5 spaces	
Practitioner***			

Table 2 contains existing parking standards according to use and those proposed under the Draft Mississauga Zoning By-law.

- CD.03. MIN November 14, 2006
- * "Office" includes business, administrative and professional offices excluding medical offices and the offices of a health professional.
- ** "Medical Building" in Zoning By-law 5500 and "Medical Office" in the new Zoning By-law include drug and optical dispensary, labs etc.
- *** "Drugless Practitioner" includes naturopath etc.

Table 2 indicates that medical offices have the highest parking requirement along with real estate offices. Instead of creating a specific list which permits only certain office uses, it is more appropriate to limit the size of office uses requiring the most parking. The Special Site 2 policies should limit the size of specific intense office uses (medical and real estate offices) which require greater amounts of parking to be provided in the front yard, thereby eroding the residential character of the streetscape.

Another factor to consider when evaluating the level of intensity of a use, is the functional operation of the office. How many persons are on staff and what services do they provide? For example, in a one-hour timeframe, it is likely that a medical office use may generate more visitors than a non-medical use.

Other businesses may rely more on telephone or computer communications. This difference is reflected in the existing parking rate for medical offices (6.5 spaces per $100 \text{ m}^2/1,076 \text{ sq.}$ ft.) compared with a non-medical business office (3.2 spaces per $100 \text{ m}^2/1,076 \text{ sq.}$ ft.). A medical office has a relatively high parking demand, based on the zoning by-law requirement, whereas a general office use has a lower parking demand. The lowest parking demand is for a dwelling unit.

It is proposed that applicants be required to provide, as part of a rezoning application, detailed information on the proposed operation, including the number of full and part time employees, anticipated hours of operation etc. demonstrating that the applicable parking rate will satisfy the needs of the business without impacting the adjacent community. In addition, since the proposed operation must not negatively impact the function of Hurontario Street as major arterial road or abutting local roads, an applicant will also provide an assessment of the potential traffic and parking impact associated with a new use at the time of

- 16 -

submission of a rezoning application to the satisfaction of the Transportation and Works Department.

f) Maximum Gross Floor Area

The existing policies allow an office building of up to 420 m² (4,521 sq. ft) gross floor area (GFA). This cannot be achieved for each property. For example, subject to the 25% lot coverage rule, the smallest lots with areas of 595 m² (6,405 sq. ft.) could accommodate a maximum GFA of only 297 m² (3,197 sq. ft.), assuming a maximum two-storey height limit.

In order to reflect a residential character more in keeping with the scale of other detached dwellings in the community, it is proposed that the maximum permitted GFA be calculated using the same requirements of the Zoning By-law for infill dwellings. It is proposed that the maximum permitted office or multi-residential GFA be:

• 190 m² (2,045 sq. ft.) plus 20% of the lot area up to a maximum of 420 m² (4,520 sq. ft.).

This approach enables a property owner to develop a residential, office or mixed residential/office building that relates to the size of their property. It also clarifies that the maximum 420 m^2 (4,520 sq. ft.) cannot be achieved on every site.

The maximum 25% lot coverage requirement would continue to ensure that there is sufficient open space on the lot. In addition, area-wide zoning standards are proposed to reduce the amount of hard surface in front yards along Hurontario Street and to create a more consistent streetscape capable of accommodating new trees, provided there are no conflicts within underground utilities.

g) Proposed Site Development Zoning Standards

In order to address some of the impacts associated with a concentration of offices, the following site development standards are proposed to be implemented through an area-wide zoning amendment. The proposed development standards build upon - 17 -

existing official plan policies and are reflective of the development in the surrounding residential area.

Accommodating more intense office uses, particularly large medical offices, poses several challenges for the Special Site 2 properties since the preferred parking locations are at-grade, in the front and side yards. In some cases, more than 60% of the front yard has been devoted to surface parking.

In order to "restore" the appearance of a front yard to these properties and provide adequate parking opportunities, the following site development standards for mid-block properties are proposed to be implemented through an amendment to the Draft Mississauga Zoning By-law:

- a maximum front yard setback of 50% of the lot depth;
- a minimum rear yard setback of 7.5 m (24.6 ft.);
- a maximum lot coverage of 25%;
- the combined width of the side yards for every lot other than a corner lot shall be:
 - 20% of the lot width for a one-storey building
 - 27% of the lot width for a two-storey building
- minimum 50% landscaped open space (excluding parking areas) requirement be applied to the front yard; and
- a minimum landscape buffer of 7.5 m (24.6 ft.) in depth be applied across the frontage of properties which are over 45 m (147 ft.) in depth to accommodate landscaped screening of the parking area . For properties which are less than 45 m (147 ft.) in depth, a minimum landscape buffer of 4.5 m (14.7 ft.) would be required.

A typical property with a 30 m (98.4 ft.) frontage would be able to accommodate parking for about 16 cars in the front and side yards. Based on the current parking rate for medical offices of 6.5 spaces per 100 m² (1,076 sq. ft.), a maximum GFA of about 250 m² (2,691 sq. ft.) would be permitted for a medical office. It is proposed that medical offices and real estate offices, which are proposed to require parking at the same rate in the Draft Mississauga Zoning By-law, be limited to a maximum GFA of 250 m² (2,691 sq. ft.).

Non-medical offices, assessed at a parking at a rate of 3.2 spaces per 100 m² (1,076 sq. ft.) could accommodate 14 parking spaces in the front yard under the proposed zone standards and would be able to achieve a GFA of 420 m² (4,520 sq. ft.).

In the case of corner lots, the same mid-block standards would apply with the following exceptions:

- a minimum landscape buffer of 4.5 m (14.8 ft.) in depth would be required adjacent to any parking areas abutting a residentially-zoned property;
- parking may also be permitted in the side yard;
- a minimum landscape buffer 7.5 m (24.6 ft.) in depth be required across the Hurontario Street frontage for properties which are over 45 m (147 ft.) in depth and for properties which are less than 45 m (147 ft.) in depth, a minimum landscape buffer of 4.5 m (14.8 ft.) in depth would be required; and
- a minimum landscape buffer of 4.5 m (14.8 ft.) in depth be applied across the side street frontage for properties.

To ensure that there is greater consistency in the treatment of the Hurontario Street streetscape, it is proposed that the above zoning standards be introduced following the adoption of the proposed official plan amendment, as part of the Draft Mississauga Zoning By-law rather than as an amendment to By-law 5500. In the interim, it is proposed that these standards be used to assist in the evaluation of future site specific developments. Appendix 11 provides an illustration of how the proposed development standards would be applied to a typical mid-block lot, a small lot and a corner lot example.

7. Summary of Proposed Official Plan Amendments

It is proposed that the Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan continue to guide change in land use from detached dwellings to office or, mixed residential-office uses or residential apartment uses within the Special Site 2 area. Existing Special Site 2 policies and other relevant Mississauga Plan policies are contained in Appendix 10. The following amendments, shown in italics, are proposed for Special Site 2 in Mineola:

- 19 -

designation, the following additional policies will apply:		
Existing Special Site 2 Policy	Proposed Modification <i>Replace existing paragraph a.</i>	
 a. offices will be permitted in addition to residential uses; 	 with: a. The following uses will also be permitted: offices detached dwellings in combination with office uses or home occupations a maximum of four apartment units a maximum of three apartment units in combination with office uses retail commercial uses will not be permitted 	
 b. the building, including amenities and signage, whether new or modified, will have a residential appearance which is consistent with the form, design, and scale of the surrounding residential area, and the use must be of a nature and intensity that will have limited impact on the low density residential character of the area which results in limited impact on the function of Hurontario Street; 	 Replace existing paragraph b. with revised paragraph b. and new paragraph c. as follows: b. all buildings, including signage, whether new or modified, will have a residential appearance which is compatible with the form, design, and scale of the surrounding residential area. c. the use must be of a nature and intensity that results in a low parking demand and which does not negatively impact the function of Hurontario Street nor abutting local roads. In this regard, applicants for rezoning will be required to demonstrate, through the submission of traffic and parking impact studies and business operation information, that the proposed use is suitable for its location. 	

c. all required office related parking will be accommodated at grade, exclusively within the front and side yards and with a minimal loss of vegetation. However, where such locations result in conflict with City policies, including these District Policies, consideration may be given to other locations providing the intent of the policies is maintained;	Replace existing paragraph c. with new paragraph d. as follows: d. all required office related parking will be accommodated at grade, primarily within the front and side yards and with a minimal loss of vegetation. Alternative parking locations may be considered for apartments, and to address tree preservation issues, traffic safety matters or conflicts with underground utilities. In exceptional circumstances, office parking may be considered in the rear yard provided there is no detrimental impact to the abutting residential properties. In this regard the applicant will be required to demonstrate that the following criteria have been addressed: appropriate landscaped screening of the parking area, overlook conditions and compatibility with adjacent rear yards in terms of grading, drainage, noise and lighting impacts.
d. to minimize the amount of hard surface area, on-site parking areas should have an efficient vehicular circulation and layout which is suitably screened, preferably with vegetation;	 Replace existing paragraph d. with new paragraph e. as follows: e. the Hurontario Street and side street frontages are required to be enhanced with extensive landscaping to visually screen the street. On-site surface parking areas are not permitted to dominate the streetscape and must be suitably screened with a landscaped buffer of a sufficient size and configuration to sustain plants and trees.
 e. new buildings or modified buildings will not exceed 420 m² gross floor area and the maximum lot coverage will not exceed 25% and the maximum 	Replace existing paragraph e. with new paragraphs f. and g. as follows: f. the maximum permitted gross floor area for new or modified

Planning and Development Committee

- 21 -

CD.03. MIN November 14, 2006

building height will not exceed two storeys.	 buildings will be 190 m² (2,045 sq. ft.) plus 20% of the lot area. The maximum permitted gross floor area for a medical office or a real estate office in a new or modified building is 250 m² (2,691 sq. ft. No building will exceed a total gross floor area of 420 m² (4, 520 sq. ft.). g. the maximum lot coverage will not exceed 25% of the lot area and the maximum building height will not exceed two storeys.
f. notwithstanding subsection e. of this section the existing building at 1523 Hurontario Street will not exceed 584.7 m ² of gross floor area.	Subsections f. and g. which pertain to site specific approvals for gross floor area in excess of 420 m ² (4,520 sq. ft.) are proposed to be renumbered h. and i.
g. notwithstanding subsection e. of this section the existing building at 1443 Hurontario Street will not exceed 552 m ² of gross floor area.	

FINANCIAL IMPACT: Not Applicable.

CONCLUSION:

The study reviewed the evolution of the official plan policies for the Mineola Special Site 2 area and examined existing development in the context of current policy and the original objectives for this area. The study concluded that there is a need for consistent application of specific development performance standards.

The study also evaluated specific office and non-residential uses and concluded that the current incremental approach to allowing office uses continues to be appropriate while more intense office uses such as medical and real estate offices should be limited in size. The study proposes to permit a limited number of apartments, either alone or in

combination with office uses, as a strategy to better balance residential and office uses and lessen the demand for parking along Hurontario Street. Site development criteria that build upon the existing urban design policies of Mississauga Plan have also been developed to create consistent and measurable objectives for the Hurontario Street streetscape.

ATTACHMENTS:	APPENDIX 1:	Mineola District Land Use Map
	APPENDIX 2:	Draft Terms of Reference
		Special Site 2 Policies Mineola District
	APPENDIX 3:	Active Development Applications
	APPENDIX 4:	Active Development Applications - Proposal Details
	APPENDIX 5:	Special Site 2 Study Area Boundary and Lot Pattern
	APPENDIX 6:	Study Area Characteristics and Observations
	APPENDIX 7	Evolution of the Special Site 2 Planning Policy
	APPENDIX 8 :	Existing Zoning By-law 5500
	APPENDIX 9 :	Draft Mississauga Zoning By-law
	APPENDIX 10:	Applicable Mississauga Plan Policies
	APPENDIX 11:	Summary of Proposed Site Development Guidelines
		and Applied Examples

Original Signed By:

Edward R. Sajecki Commissioner of Planning and Building

Prepared By: Paulina Mikicich, Planner, Long Range Planning

K:\PLAN\POLICY\GROUP_Reports\2006\P-December 5\Mineola Study Report Site2 Dec5 xx.doc



I:\PLANNING\MAPPING\SPECIAL_PROJECTS±6\CITYLNSTDY_PM\MINEOLAL.DGN

APPENDIX 2

Draft Terms of Reference Special Site 2 Policies Mineola District

Background

The area referred to as Special Site 2 in the Mineola District policies, the policies for which are attached, was intended to permit the conversion of the existing dwellings to low intensity, low traffic generating professional offices. The main principle was that the use must have a residential appearance and must have no detrimental impact on the residential character of the area. At the time these policies were developed in 1985, the dwellings fronting onto Hurontario Street were becoming less desirable for residential use due to the im pact of traffic on Hurontario Street. Consequently, an alternative use was desirable as long as the residential character of the area could be maintained

Recently, concerns have been expressed that some office uses, such as medical offices and clinics, may generate too much traffic and require extensive paving to accommodate the required parking, with an attendant loss of landscaping and the residential streetscape. Other concerns have been expressed that the term "office" could be interpreted to permit uses more in the nature of a personal service, even though these uses are not permitted by the Special Site 2 Policies,.

Purpose

The purpose of this study is to carry out a scoped review of the existing Special Site 2 Policies with specific regard to the appropriateness of specific offices and non residential uses, and their related development policies such as the location and amount of parking, access to corner lots, landscaping and setbacks.

Work Program

The work program will include:

- An overview of the history of the Special Site 2 Policies and their evolution in the Official Plan;
- Identification of other policies in Mississauga Plan relevant to the site;
- An analysis of existing development in the context of the current policy compared to the original objectives for this area.;
- Identification of redevelopment potential for additional office uses;
- Identification of development pressures through a review of current development applications ;
- Recommended amendments to the existing policies.

Deliverables

The study will be completed in the fall to enable Planning and Development Committee to consider a recommendation to hold a statutory public meeting, which will initiate the formal public review process.

Stakeholder Input

In addition to the formal public review process, the land owners and Credit Reserve Association will be invited to a focus group meeting in summer to provide their input to the study.

Staff Resources

The study will be led by Policy Division staff, with design assistance from Development and Design Division staff.

K:\PLAN\POLICY\GROUP\2006 Special Projects\Mineola Special Site 2 Study\Draft Terms of Reference Appendix 2.doc

MISSISSAUGA PLAN

PLANT

Specific policies in this section must be read in conjunction with all the policies in this Plan.

Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan



The lands identified as Special Site 2 are the lots which front onto the east and west sides of Hurontario Street, south of the Queen Elizabeth Way.

Notwithstanding the provisions of the Residential Low Density I designation, the following additional policies will apply:

- a. offices will be permitted in addition to residential uses;
- b. the building, including amenities and signage, whether new or modified, will have a residential appearance which is consistent with the form, design and scale of the surrounding residential area, and the use must be of nature and intensity that will have limited impact on the low density residential character of the area which results in limited impact on the function of Hurontario Street;
- c. all required office related parking will be accommodated at grade, exclusively within the front and side yards with a minimal loss of vegetation. However, where such locations result in conflict with City policies, including these District Policies, consideration may be given to other locations providing the intent of the policies is maintained;
- to minimise the amount of hard surface area, on-site parking areas should have an efficient vehicular circulation and layout which is suitably screened, preferably with vegetation;

- e. new buildings or modified buildings will not exceed 420 m² gross floor area and the maximum lot coverage will not exceed 25% and the maximum building height will not exceed two storeys.
- f. notwithstanding subsection e. of this section, the existing building at 1523 Hurontario Street will not exceed 584.7 m² gross floor area;
- g. notwithstanding subsection e. of this section, an office building at 1443 Hurontario Street will not exceed 552 m² gross floor area.


G\SPECIAL PROJECTS±6\CITYLNSTDY_PM\SPECIAL SITE2_1A.DGN

	Active Deve	elopment Applica	tions – Proposal Details
File No.	Address	Application Type/Status	Proposal
OZ 04/036 W1	1405 Hurontario St	Rezoning	To permit business, professional and administrative offices including a medical office up to a total gross floor area (GFA) of 226 m ² (2, 432.7 sq. ft.) Total Parking – 13 spaces including 4 tandem spaces
OZ 05/025 W1 (SP 04/174 W1)	1484 Hurontario St	Rezoning and Site Plan Appealed to OMB	To permit a medical therapy office up to a maximum GFA of 182.0 m ² (1, 959 sq. ft.). Total Parking – 14 spaces
OZ 05/036 W1	1489 Hurontario St	Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning/ Appealed to OMB	To permit a wellness centre up to a maximum GFA of 236 m ² (2, 540 sq. ft.) size. Total Parking - 13 spaces
OZ 06/010 W1 (SP 06/124 W1)	1505 Hurontario St	Rezoning and Site Plan	To permit an increase in the maximum permitted GFA from 200 m ² (2, 152.8 sq. ft.) to 383.0 m ² (4, 122.7 sq. ft.). The applicant is also proposing an apartment use in conjunction with the office uses Total Parking – 12 spaces including 5 parallel spaces
OZ 06/018 W1	1575 Hurontario St	Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning	To permit business, professional and administrative offices, including medical offices up to a maximum GFA of 487.0 m ² (5, 242.2 sq. ft). Total Parking – 29 spaces
OZ 06/023 W1 (SP 06/231 W1) (SP 06/232 W1)	1361 and 1371 Hurontario St	Rezoning and Site Plan	To permit business, professional and administrative offices in two new buildings. A maximum GFA of 350 m^2 is proposed for 1361 Hurontario Street and 315 m^2 for 1371 Hurontario Street. Parking is proposed to be shared between the buildings and accessed through a mutual driveway. Total Parking – 18 spaces
SPR 04/068 W1	1471 Hurontario St	Site Plan Approved in principle by the Ontario Municipal Board	To permit business, professional and administrative offices including a medical clinic with a maximum GFA of 350 m ² (3, 767.5 sq. ft.). Total Parking – 23 spaces



APPENDIX 6

STUDY AREA CHARACTERISTICS AND OBSERVATIONS

The properties vary in lot area (from 595 $m^2/6,405$ sq. ft. to over 3,900 $m^2/41,981$ sq. ft.) and lot frontage (from 15 m/49.2 ft. to 45 m/ 147.6 ft.) as shown on Appendix 5. While there is no consistent lot pattern, approximately 58% of the properties have a lot frontage of 30 m (98.4 ft.) or more. In addition, lots with similar frontage measurements are located in clusters along the corridor.

Existing Land Uses

Existing development consists of buildings with a detached dwelling appearance up to two storeys in height used for residences, offices and mixed residence/office uses. Approximately 35% of the properties are used solely for residential purposes, while 38% of the sites contain exclusively business, professional and administrative offices.

Other uses along Hurontario Street include a daycare centre (Blue Elephant Day Care) located at 1322 Hurontario Street, which has been in operation since 1985 through time-limited approvals of minor variance applications by the Committee of Adjustment.

Table 1 provides a breakdown of existing and proposed uses within the study area.

Table 1						
Existing and Proposed Land Uses within the Study Area*						
Land Use	Number of	% of Total				
	Properties					
Residential Detached	18	34.6				
Residential Other	1	1.9				
Residential/Office	5	9.6				
Business or Administrative Office	13	25.0				
Medical Offices	7	13.5				
Day Care	1	1.9				
Proposed Additional Offices	5	9.6				
Proposed Wellness Centre/ Spa	1	1.9				
Proposed Other Use	1	1.9				
Total	52	100.0				

*Based on data derived from site specific zoning and minor variance approvals including those sites currently under construction, site inspections, the City's employment database and proposed redevelopment applications.

Development Trends

Over the past few years there has been growing interest in the redevelopment of properties within the study area. Since 1985 there has been a noticeable decline in the number of private residences, and an

increase toward only office development. There has also been a shift toward larger medical offices with more than one non-resident practitioner.

Currently, there are six active development applications seeking a change in use from residential to office or other non-office uses. One applicant is requesting an expansion of an existing office use and to include apartment unit.

Only 19% of the properties have been developed at or above the maximum permitted of gross floor area of 420 m² (4,520 sq. ft.). Redevelopment potential also continues to remain on several residential properties including some of the smaller lots (1361 and 1371 Hurontario Street) which have recently been assembled for proposed office uses.

EVOLUTION OF THE SPECIAL SITE 2 PLANNING POLICIES

<u>September 3, 1985</u> -Amendment 72 to the Official Plan of Mississauga, the Queen Elizabeth Secondary Plan, was approved by the Ministry of Municipal Affairs designating lands along Hurontario Street, south of the Queen Elizabeth Way, "Special Site 7" permitting low-density professional, business and administrative offices in addition to residential uses. Retail commercial and personal service commercial uses such as barber and beauty shops, laundries, cleaners, shoe repair services and tattoo parlours were not permitted. The intent of this policy was to allow conversions of existing residential dwellings or redevelopments of individual parcels for professional, business or administrative offices, if they complied with specific requirements to ensure that they were visually and functionally compatible with the wellestablished residential development in the surrounding area.

This policy was not implemented through a complementary area-wide zoning amendment. Instead rezoning applications for lands subject to this amendment were to be considered on a case-by-case basis. Offices for accountants, architects, lawyers, artists, doctors, dentists, drugless practitioners, consultants, insurance brokers, real estate agents or similar uses were permitted provided that they addressed, among other things, the following through the site plan process:

- compatibility of building form, design and scale with the residential character of the surrounding residential area;
- adequacy and location of parking and refuse disposal facilities;
- vehicular ingress and egress;
- exterior lighting and signage;
- natural features;
- landscaping and fencing;
- impact of proposed uses on adjacent land uses.

Appendix I, which was attached to the Queen Elizabeth Secondary Plan, contained a number of design guidelines for development within the Special Site 7 area which were to be taken into consideration when evaluating development applications.

<u>July 7, 1998</u> - The Queen Elizabeth Secondary Plan was re-titled as the Mineola District Plan and incorporated as part of Official (City) Plan which was approved by the Ministry of Municipal Affairs. The Special Site 7 policies and guidelines as outlined above continued to apply. - 2 -

<u>September 8, 1999</u> - City Plan policies for the Mineola District were approved by the Region of Peel. Special Site 7 was renamed "Special Site 2" in this City Plan document. In addition, Special Site 2 incorporated some of the Appendix I guidelines as specific policies restricting the maximum permitted floor area to 420 m² (4,520 sq. ft) and the maximum lot coverage to 25% for office buildings while requiring new construction and redevelopment to maintain the residential character of the area.

Development is to be residential in appearance, having limited impact on the low density residential character of the area. All required office related parking will be accommodated at grade, exclusively within the front and side yards with a minimum loss of vegetation. Hard surface parking areas shall be minimized with efficient circulation and screening from Hurontario Street, preferably by vegetation. New or modified buildings will not exceed 420 m² (4,520 sq. ft.) gross floor area and the maximum lot coverage will exceed two (2) storeys.

<u>May 5, 2003</u> - Region of Peel approved the Mississauga Plan policies for the Mineola District which continue to designate the study area as "Residential Low Density I - Special Site 2". The currently applicable Special Site 2 policies are included in Appendix 3 attached to this report.

<u>November 2, 2005</u> - The Ontario Municipal Board granted an appeal of a refusal by the City of a rezoning application under file OZ 04/005 W1 to permit a business, professional and administrative offices including a medical clinic at 1471 Hurontario Street.

APPENDIX 8

EXISTING ZONING BY-LAW (BY-LAW 5500)

The site-by-site implementation of the Special Site 2 policies has resulted in over 30 different zoning categories. Lands currently zoned to permit detached dwellings typically maintain an infill housing zoning categories similar to the surrounding residential areas on either side of Hurontario Street.

The site specific zones created to allow offices, typically permit detached dwellings under a particular base residential zone (ranging from R1 to R4) or allow business, professional or administrative offices subject to certain site specific restrictions. Since 1985 only one site specific zone was established to permit a combined residence and office use. Several of the recent site specific zones permit only office uses.

Under Zoning By-law 5500, the offices permitted include business, professional and administrative offices. These are defined as:

"a building or structure used for the purposes of providing accommodation for the offices of a professional person or persons such as a physician, dentist, drugless practitioner, barrister, solicitor, engineer, architect, Ontario Land Surveyor, and for any purpose incidental thereto; the administrative office of a non-profit organization; a business administrative office."

A drugless practitioner is further defined as follows:

"means a person authorized to practise as a drugless practitioner under the Drugless Practitioners Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. D.18, as amended; a person authorized to practise the profession of chiropractic under the Chiropractic Act, 1991, S.O. 1991, c.21, as amended; a person authorized to practise massage therapy under the Massage Therapy Act, 1991, S.O. 1991, c. 27, as amended; and a person authorized to practise physiotherapy under the Physiotherapy Act, 1991, S.O 1991, c.37, as amended."

Unless specifically prohibited, any zone that permits business, professional or administrative offices would also allow medical offices. The term "medical office" includes all types of offices for health professionals - physician, dentist, chiropractor, registered massage therapists, etc. The existing residential zoning also enables a physician, dentist or drugless practitioner to establish a medical office within their principal residence up to a maximum GFA of 100 m² (1,076 sq. ft.) and subject to other zoning requirements.

APPENDIX 9

PROPOSED ZONING REGULATIONS – DRAFT MISSISSAUGA ZONING BY-LAW

A Supplementary Report on Comments on the Draft Mississauga Zoning By-law was received by the Planning and Development Committee on September 18, 2006. A second Supplementary Report will be considered at a future meeting.

The Draft Mississauga Zoning By-law proposes to continue to permit office and medical officerestricted uses where they have already been permitted on the basis of a site-specific rezoning. Office uses permitted through approval of a minor variance will not be recognized.

It also proposes to combine the terms "medical office" and "medical building" used in Zoning By-law 5500 into one modified "medical office" term. A "medical office" in the Draft Mississauga Zoning By-law is defined as a "building, structure or part thereof, other than a hospital, used for consultation, examination or therapeutic treatment by a physician, dentist, drugless practitioner or health professional licensed by the Province of Ontario and, may include medical uses such as, laboratories, facilities for medical, diagnostic and dental purposes, a drug and optical dispensary and a medical supply and equipment store."

A "medical office-restricted" definition is proposed to be used for medical offices in residential zones. The restricted definition does not permit accessory uses such as a laboratory, drug dispensary or medical supply and equipment store.

The Draft Mississauga Zoning By-law also provides for additional land use opportunities. Home occupations such as tutoring, music instruction, artist or artisan establishment or an office will be permitted within detached dwellings subject to by-law regulations which require that the use is a minor component of a principal residence. This live-work arrangement is particularly appropriate along this corridor as it expands potential land uses for Hurontario Street property owners and is in keeping with the general intent of the Special Site 2 policies.

It also allows daycares, as-of-right along Hurontario Street, subject to specific development standards ensuring compatibility with adjacent lands. Daycare centres and other community uses such as places of religious assembly are permitted by Mississauga Plan in all residential designations. Places of religious assembly are also permitted by the existing zoning by-law.

APPLICABLE MISSISSAUGA PLAN POLICIES

Mississauga Plan

The study area includes 52 properties fronting onto both sides of Hurontario Street, south of the Queen Elizabeth Way known as Special Site 2 in the Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan. These lands are designated "Residential Low Density I" which permits detached dwellings to a maximum of 10 units per net residential hectare (4 unit per net residential acre). Other general residential uses which are also permitted under this designation include special needs housing such as group homes and housing for the elderly, accessory offices for physicians, dentists and drugless practitioners in their private residences, and community uses such as, but not limited to, day care centres, schools, and places of religious assembly.

The lands are also subject to the following provisions for the Special Site 2 area.

Notwithstanding the provisions of the Residential Low Density I designation, the following additional policies will apply:

- a. offices will be permitted in addition to residential uses;
- b. the building, including amenities and signage, whether new or modified will have a residential appearance which is consistent with the form, design, and scale of the surrounding residential area, and the use must be of a nature and intensity that will have a limited impact on the low density residential character of the area which results in limited impact on the function of Hurontario Street;
- c. all required office related parking will be accommodated at grade, exclusively within the front and side yards and with a minimal loss of vegetation. However, where such locations result in conflict with City policies, including these District Policies, consideration may be given to other locations providing the intent of the policies is maintained;
- d. to minimize the amount of hard surface area, on-site parking areas should have an efficient vehicular circulation and layout which is suitably screened, preferably with vegetation;
- e. new buildings or modified buildings will not exceed 420 m² gross floor area and the maximum lot coverage will not exceed 25% and the maximum building height will not exceed two storeys;
- f. notwithstanding subsection e. of this section the existing building at 1523 Hurontario Street will not exceed 584.7 m² of gross floor area;
- g. notwithstanding subsection e. of this section an office building at 1443 Hurontario Street will not exceed 552 m² of gross floor area.

Mississauga Plan Urban Design and Road Classification policies are also applicable to the study area. Section 4.24.3.2 of the Mississauga Plan Policies for the Mineola District indicates that:

- a. On lands adjacent to Hurontario Street, the existing mature vegetation, well landscaped appearance and generous setbacks will be maintained to reflect area character. As Hurontario Street is a gateway to the District, as well as Port Credit, consideration should be given to: additional tree planting, a sodded boulevard, a bicycle route and a right-of-way design that is sympathetic to the character of the area.
- b. On Mineola Road East and West, consideration should be given to additional tree planting.
- c. Open ditch cross-sections should be maintained, as they contribute to the character of the area.

Under Mississauga Plan, Hurontario Street is classified as an Arterial Road and a Major Transit Corridor with a 30 m (98.4 ft.) right-of-way. This classification recognizes the street's role as a major traffic route in this City. Pinewood Trail and Indian Valley Trail are depicted as "minor collector" roads. Both trail roads maintain a rural character with open ditch cross-sections. Mineola West is a minor collector while Mineola Road, east of Hurontario is a major collector road. Minor and major collector road are designed to accommodate inter-district and neighbourhood traffic.

APPENDIX 11

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED SITE DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES AND APPLIED EXAMPLES

Maximum GFA

- 190 m^2 (2,045 sq. ft.) plus 20% of the lot area up to a maximum 420 m² (4,520 sq. ft.)
- 250 m² (2,691 sq. ft.) permitted for medical offices and real estate offices

Minimum Yard Requirements:

Total Side Yards - 20% of lot width for a one storey building
27% of lot width for a two storey building
minimum side yard of 1.8 m (5.9 ft.) for a one-storey building and a minimum side yard of 2.41 m (7.9 ft.) for a two-storey building

Front Yard - front wall of building cannot be setback more than 50% of the lot depth Rear Yard - minimum of 7.5 m (24.6 ft.)

Minimum Landscaped Open Space and Landscape Buffers:

- a minimum of 50% of the front yard is to be landscaped open space (Landscaped open space may include walkways and driveway access aisles but may not include parking areas);
- a minimum 50% of the lot area is to be landscaped open space for corner lots;
- a minimum 7.5 m (24.6 ft.) deep landscape buffer is required along the Hurontario Street frontage for properties with a lot depth greater than 45 m (147.6 ft.);
- a minimum 4.5 m (14.8 ft.) deep landscape buffer is required along the Hurontario Street for properties with a lot depth less than 45 m (147.6 ft.);
- a minimum 4.5 m (14.8 ft.) deep landscape buffer is required along the side street frontage for corner lots;
- a minimum 4.5 m (14.8 ft.) deep landscape buffer is required adjacent to any parking areas abutting a residential property.

Maximum Height - 10.7 m (35.1 ft.) to the midpoint of the roof Maximum Lot Coverage - 25% of lot area

APPENDIX 11

Illustration of Guidelines:

- Maximum GFA = 190 m^2 (2,045 sq. ft.) + 20% of the lot area up to a maximum of 420 m² (4,520 sq. ft.)
- Total Side Yards = 20 % of lot width for one-storey, 27 % of lot width for two storeys with a minimum side yard of 1.8 m (5.9 ft.) for a one-storey building and a minimum side yard of 2.41 m (7.9 ft.) for a two-storey building

Maximum Lot Coverage = 25% of the lot area



Applied Examples

Example 1 - Typical Lot:



Example 2- Small Lot

Total side yard setbacks = 4.1 m (13.45 ft.) however minimum side yard must be 2.41 m (7.9 ft.) for a two storey building Maximum GFA permitted is 309 m² (3,326.2 sq. ft.)



Example 3 - Corner Lot

- 50% of the entire lot should be landscape open space
- Minimum depth of a landscape buffer required for any parking area abutting a residential property shall be 4.5 m (14.8 ft.)
- Dwelling can not be further back than 50% of lot depth from Hurontario Street
- Parking may be accommodated in the side yard



Example 4 - Corner Lot



RESPONDENT	ISSUE	COMMENTS		RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DRAFT INEOLA DISTRICT POLICIES FOR SPECIAL SITE 2
Transportation and Works Department	Storm Drainage	The Hurontario Street storm sewer system is currently designed to primarily accommodate drainage along its right-of-way. It does not have sufficient capacity to handle additional runoff as a result of increases in impervious areas through development of the corridor from its existing residential use.	1.	Response:The appropriate cash contribution towards upgrading of the storm sewer system will be required for future developing lands. The calculation of the cost and sizing of the upgraded storm sewer, along with the required contribution for each development, will be determined at a later date.Other measures aimed at reducing the impact of hard surfaces in the study area such as permeable paving materials were also considered and are proposed to be incorporated into the revised draft policies.Recommendation No. 1: No action required.(See also Recommendation Nos. 11 and 14)
Planning and Building Department	Interpretation of the Special Site 2 Policies	Upon further review, the policies should be clarified to indicate that the Special Site 2 policies apply to office and residential-office buildings.	2.	Response: The proposed Special Site 2 policies address office and mixed residential-office development. Recommendation No. 2: That the revised draft Special Site 2 policies be amended to indicate that they are applicable to office and residential-office properties. (see <u>Appendix 4 – Revised Draft Official Plan Amendment 62, Special Site 2- Mineola District Policies subsection c.)</u>

APPENDIX 2 Response to Comments - Amendment 62 to Mississauga Plan - Proposed Amendments to Special Site 2 Mineola District Policies

RESPONDENT	ISSUE	COMMENTS		RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DRAFT INEOLA DISTRICT POLICIES FOR SPECIAL SITE 2
Planning and Building Department – Development and Design Division	Flat Roofs	Upon further review, the height of buildings with flat roofs should be addressed.	3.	 Response: There are three buildings in Special Site 2 which have flat roofs. One is a vacant building, another, a historic residence and the last a recently constructed medical office building. It is appropriate to limit the height of buildings with sloped and flat roofs to that for residentially-zoned districts (R2). Any increases in height or modifications to rooflines should be considered on a case-by-case basis. Recommendation No. 3: That the maximum two storey height limit be as follows:: <i>a maximum building height of two storeys and a 10.7 m</i> (35.1 ft.) mean height level between the eaves and the ridge of a sloped roof or 7.5 m (24.6 ft.) to the highest point of the surface of a flat roof; (see Appendix 4 – Revised Draft Official Plan Amendment 62, Special Site 2- Mineola District Policies subsection i.)
Planning and Development Committee Dr. Frank Lista	New Planning Vision for Hurontario Street	The planning vision for Special Site 2 should take into consideration the proposed light rail system (LRT) on Hurontario Street. In light of this initiative, should we continue to have low densities in Special Site 2 in Mineola?	4.	Response: The purpose of the Special Site 2 study is to clarify the applicable official plan policies, address concerns associated with recent office development in the area, and assist with the evaluation of new development applications. This review is being conducted with the current planning vision in mind, given that there is limited potential for intensification within Special Site 2 having regard for the configuration of roads, blocks, parcel sizes and land uses in the surrounding area. The Transportation and Works Department has advised that "the

RESPONDENT	ISSUE	COMMENTS		RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DRAFT INEOLA DISTRICT POLICIES FOR SPECIAL SITE 2
				City is undertaking a Hurontario Street Higher-Order Transit Feasibility Study which will take a holistic approach to assessing the Hurontario Street corridor, looking at transportation and land uses together. The intent is to examine and review the range of potential higher-order transit alternatives that are feasible for the Hurontario Street corridor; to develop an implementation plan; and recommend land use policies/schedules for the Official Plan and relevant District plans." It is, therefore, premature to consider any changes to the planning vision for Special Site 2 in advance of the completion of this work. Recommendation No. 4: No action required.
Credit Reserve Association	Permitted Uses	Clarify the types of office uses that are permitted in Special Site 2. Clarify that personal service commercial uses, such as spas and beauty salons, are not permitted.	5.	 Response: The term <i>office</i> as defined in Mississauga Plan means business, professional and administrative offices. Medical offices are also permitted under this definition. In the past few years there has been some confusion about what constitutes a medical office. The new Zoning By-law has modified the zoning for properties with existing medical offices in Special Site 2 to permit only <i>medical office-restricted</i> uses as they are defined by Zoning By-law 0225-2007. <i>Medical office-restricted</i> means a building or part thereof, other than a hospital, used for consultation, examination or therapeutical treatment by a physician, dentist, drugless practitioner or health professional licensed by the Province of Ontario. This type of medical office does not include accessory medical uses such as laboratories, a drug and optical dispensary or a medical supply and equipment store. OPA 62 should be amended to clarify that for the purposes of Special Site 2, medical offices refers to the type of medical offices referes to the type of medical offices ref

 2007. OPA 25 introduced <i>home occupations</i> as a permitted use in residential districts and, therefore, there is no need to include "home occupations" as a permitted use under subsection a. The existing prohibition of retail commercial uses, should be clarified to prohibit personal service uses such as spas and beas salons. During the focus group deliberations there was genera consensus that accessory retail commercial activities should al not be permitted. Recommendation No. 5: That subsection a. be deleted and replaced with revised subsections a. and b. as follows: <i>a. The following uses will also be permitted:</i> offices, provided that medical offices are used for the consultation, commination or therapeutical treatment a physician, dentist, drugless practitioner or health professional licensed by the Province of Ontario. Medical offices may not include hospitals or other accessory medical uses such as, laboratios, diagno facilities for medical and dental purposes, a drug and purposes. 	RESPONDENT	ISSUE	COMMENTS	RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DRAFT MINEOLA DISTRICT POLICIES FOR SPECIAL SITE 2
That subsection a. be deleted and replaced with revised subsections a. and b. as follows: a. The following uses will also be permitted: • offices, provided that medical offices are used for the consultation, examination or therapeutical treatment a physician, dentist, drugless practitioner or health professional licensed by the Province of Ontario. Medical offices may not include hospitals or other accessory medical uses such as, laboratories, diagno facilities for medical and dental purposes, a drug and optical dispensary, nor a medical supply and equipmed				OPA 25 introduced <i>home occupations</i> as a permitted use in residential districts and, therefore, there is no need to include "home occupations" as a permitted use under subsection a. The existing prohibition of retail commercial uses, should be clarified to prohibit personal service uses such as spas and beauty salons. During the focus group deliberations there was general consensus that accessory retail commercial activities should also
a detached dwelling containing a maximum of one dwelling unit in combination with office uses. b. Retail commercial uses, which include personal service use				 That subsection a. be deleted and replaced with revised subsections a. and b. as follows: a. The following uses will also be permitted: offices, provided that medical offices are used for the consultation, examination or therapeutical treatment by a physician, dentist, drugless practitioner or health professional licensed by the Province of Ontario. Medical offices may not include hospitals or other accessory medical uses such as, laboratories, diagnostic facilities for medical and dental purposes, a drug and optical dispensary, nor a medical supply and equipment store; a detached dwelling containing a maximum of one dwelling unit in combination with office uses.

RESPONDENT	ISSUE	COMMENTS		RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DRAFT INEOLA DISTRICT POLICIES FOR SPECIAL SITE 2
				will not be permitted as a primary or as an accessory use. (see <u>Appendix 4 – Revised Draft Official Plan Amendment 62,</u> <u>Special Site 2- Mineola District Policies</u> subsections a. and b.)
John Keyser Hurontario Ratepayers Association	Introduction of Apartment Uses	One apartment unit in conjunction with related or unrelated office uses is acceptable. Buildings containing four apartment units are not desirable along this portion of Hurontario Street given the trend towards office development.	6.	Response: The proposed introduction of four-unit apartment buildings was intended to provide for a better balance between residential and office uses in Special Site 2. Given that the Hurontario Street Higher-Order Transit Feasibility Study is currently underway, it would be appropriate to postpone the introduction of multi-unit apartment uses until there is more information about the preferred transit system option, the location of transit stops and identification of land uses and densities required to support the transit corridor. Recommendation No. 6: That the following uses be deleted from subsection a.: • a maximum of four apartment units • a maximum of three apartment units in combination with office uses (see Appendix 4 – Revised Draft Official Plan Amendment 62, Special Site 2- Mineola District Policies subsection a.)
Focus Group	Appearance of Office Buildings	Office buildings and buildings used for combined residential-office purposes should maintain a residential appearance which is compatible with surrounding neighbourhood.	7.	Response: The focus group confirmed the desire of the surrounding community and Hurontario Street property owners to maintain the residential appearance of existing and proposed office buildings in

RESPONDENT	ISSUE	COMMENTS	RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DRAFT MINEOLA DISTRICT POLICIES FOR SPECIAL SITE 2
		Signage should also remain low key and be compatible with surrounding residential uses.	 MIREOLA DISTRICT FOLICIES FOR SPECIAL SITE 2 the study area and to ensure that the appearance of buildings is <i>compatible with</i> rather than <i>identical to</i> the form, design and scale of the surrounding neighbourhood. In this regard, controlling the height and massing of buildings was generally a greater concern than architectural style. The recommended maximum height requirements to be added to the Special Site 2 policies will clarify that the preferred massing for office and residential-office buildings is similar to that for detached dwellings in residential districts (See Recommendation No. 3 above). The notion that signage should have a <i>residential appearance</i> is not clear since business signs are generally not located in residential zones. In Mississauga, signage is regulated by By-law 0054-2002 which identifies the Special Site 2 area in Mineola as a special district where only particular types of residential signs are permitted. The largest signs permitted by the Sign By-law in Special Site 2 are: one, either backlit or spot lit, ground sign per property up to a maximum size of 1.12 m² (12 sq. ft.) and a maximum height of 1.8 m (5.9 ft.). Recommendation No. 7: That the words, <i>"including signage"</i> be removed from subsection b. of the original version of OPA 62 and that subsection b. be renumbered as subsection c.
			(see <u>Appendix 4 – Revised Draft Official Plan Amendment 62</u> , <u>Special Site 2- Mineola District Policies</u> subsection c.)

RESPONDENT	ISSUE	COMMENTS		RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DRAFT INEOLA DISTRICT POLICIES FOR SPECIAL SITE 2
Catherine Shintani	Impact of new office development on the adjacent residential neighbourhood.	Ms. Shintani represented several residents on Maplewood Road who expressed concerns about privacy and drainage impacts associated with office development on Hurontario Street.	8.	Response:The proposed revised policies will prohibit office parking in the rear yards thereby limiting potential impacts to the adjacent residential properties. The Transportation and Works Department has advised that in the event that parking is proposed within the side yards on a site, the property would be serviced and graded so that it drains towards Hurontario Street. The net effect would be a reduction in flows to the rear yards and the properties on Maplewood Road.Additional policies are proposed to be added to subsection c. to ensure that new or modified buildings are designed so that they do not impact abutting residential properties.Recommendation No. 8: That the following be added to subsection c.:All development will be designed so that it does not negatively impact abutting properties used for residential purposes, in terms of light, privacy, noise and rear yard amenity.(see Appendix 4 – Revised Draft Official Plan Amendment 62, Special Site 2- Mineola District Policies subsection c.)
Hurontario Ratepayers Association	Nature and Intensity of Uses	The Hurontario Ratepayers indicated that the term "low parking demand" was not clear and that the wording of the polices should be amended to indicate that the proposed parking demand should neither impact Hurontario Street nor abutting local roads.	9.	Response: The draft official plan policies should be revised to reflect the clarification sought by the Hurontario Ratepayers Association. To assess the intensity of proposed uses, business operation information and parking and traffic impact studies will be required as part of the submission of a rezoning application in the Special Site 2 area. The policies should be further clarified to indicate

RESPONDENT	ISSUE	COMMENTS		RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DRAFT INEOLA DISTRICT POLICIES FOR SPECIAL SITE 2
Credit Reserve Association		The intent of the policies - to favour office uses which are not intensive from an operational perspective and which do not require large amounts of parking should be maintained.		 that developments which result in a reduced parking demand are preferred. Recommendation No. 9: That subsection c. be renumbered as subsection d. and revised to read as follows: the use must be of a nature and intensity that results in a parking demand which does not negatively impact the function of Hurontario Street nor abutting local roads. In this regard, applicants for rezoning will be required to demonstrate, through the submission of traffic and parking impact studies and business operation information, that the proposed use is suitable for its location. Developments which result in a reduced parking demand are preferred. (see Appendix 4 – Revised Draft Official Plan Amendment 62, Special Site 2- Mineola District Policies subsection d.)
Catherine Shintani Elio Mazzolin Christopher Stevens Gordon Kemp Maria Vacval	Introduction of rear yard parking for office uses.	Several people at the statutory public meeting expressed concerns associated with allowing office parking in the rear yards of Hurontario Street properties. Some of the specific impacts cited include noise, headlights, pollution associated with traffic movements. Planning and Development Committee members also expressed concerns about the potential precedent this policy could have in other communities.	10.	Response: The current Special Site 2 policies do not prohibit parking in the rear yard. The proposed policies clarified where rear yard office parking could be considered in response to recent requests to allow office parking in this location. With few exceptions, there was strong community agreement on the prohibition of office parking in the rear yard. The draft policies should be revised to clarify that parking in the rear yard will not be permitted for office uses. As office parking opportunities become limited in the front and side yards it was determined that it would be appropriate to permit underground parking as an alternative location.

RESPONDENT	ISSUE	COMMENTS		RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DRAFT INEOLA DISTRICT POLICIES FOR SPECIAL SITE 2
Oaks Drive Resident Credit Reserve Association Hurontario Ratepayers Association	Amount of Hard Surface in the Front Yard	Several residents and Hurontario Street property owners expressed a desire to balance the need to provide office parking and to reduce the amount of paved surfaces along Hurontario Street. The focus group supported a reduction in the minimum front yard landscape open space requirement from 50% to 40% of the front yard to accommodate additional parking but also wanted to address concerns about storm drainage through other measures. The focus group proposed the following options: permeable parking surfaces; the creation of mutual access driveways and	11.	Recommendation No. 10: That subsection d. be renumbered as subsection e. and amended as follows; e. all office-related parking will be accommodated within the front and side yards only with a minimal loss of vegetation or in underground facilities. Rear yard parking, with the exception of parking for residential dwellings, is not permitted. (see Appendix 4 – Revised Draft Official Plan Amendment 62, Special Site 2- Mineola District Policies subsection e.) Response: The Transportation and Works Department has no objection to the use of permeable pavements for driveway and parking areas in Special Site 2 (Mineola District) provided that the portion of the driveway within the road allowance is constructed of hard surface materials They also have no objection to the use of mutual driveway access between abutting property owners where appropriate, provided the appropriate legal arrangement has been made. Recommendation No. 11: That subsection e. be amended by adding the following: Surface parking areas are permitted to be constructed of
		linked parking areas between abutting property owners to reduce disruption of traffic flow movements on Hurontario Street.		permeable materials in order to achieve sustainable and urban design objectives and reduce impacts on drainage and grading systems. The portion of the access driveway within the road allowance is to be constructed of hard surface pavement. That subsection f. be deleted and replaced with revised subsection

RESPONDENT	ISSUE	COMMENTS		RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DRAFT INEOLA DISTRICT POLICIES FOR SPECIAL SITE 2
				 f. as follows: f. where appropriate, mutual driveway access will be permitted between abutting property owners provided this arrangement is registered on title; (see Appendix 4 – Revised Draft Official Plan Amendment 62, Special Site 2- Mineola District Policies subsection f.)
Elio Mazzolin Catherine Shintani Gordon Kemp	Rear Yard Buffer	Several residents expressed a desire to maintain a green buffer at the rear of the Hurontario Street properties. This was a particular concern when either the Hurontario Street office properties or the residential properties backing onto them, had shallow rear yards.	12.	Response: The prohibition of office parking in the rear yard will ensure that there will be no impacts related to the movement of cars in the back yard. A minimum rear yard setback of 7.5 m (24.6 ft.) is also proposed to prevent any building from being any closer to the rear property line than this distance. Recommendation No. 12: No action required. (See also Recommendations Nos. 11 & 15)
Planning and Building Department – Policy Division	OPA 25 – Driveway Access	Official Plan Amendment No. 25 which came into affect on September 10, 2007 introduced a new policy in Special Site – Mineola District Policies of Mississauga Plan.	13.	Recommendation No. 13:That the following be renumbered as subsection g.:for developments located at intersections, access to the minorstreets will be discouraged, and where technically feasible, accesswill be permitted on Hurontario Street;(see Appendix 4 – Revised Draft Official Plan Amendment 62,Special Site 2- Mineola District Policies subsection f.)

RESPONDENT	ISSUE	COMMENTS		RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DRAFT INEOLA DISTRICT POLICIES FOR SPECIAL SITE 2
Focus Group	Hurontario Street Streetscape	Both the Credit Reserve Association and Hurontario Street Ratepayers Association sought to clarify urban design and landscape objectives for the Hurontario Street and side street frontages.	14.	 Response: The need to provide office parking in the front and side yards is intended to be balanced with Hurontario Street's role as an important route to the Mineola and Port Credit Districts. The focus group agreed with the introduction of a minimum landscaped open space requirement for the front yards as well as landscape buffers. The group also requested that all relevant site development standards be included within revised Official Plan Amendment 62 rather than implemented than through the Zoning By-law. Staff concur with this approach given that each development proposal will proceed on a case-by-case basis through a site specific rezoning. Recommendation No. 14: Add new subsection h., i., and j., as follows: h. street frontages are required to be enhanced with landscaping to reflect Hurontario Street's role as a gateway to the Mineola and Port Credit Districts. On-site parking areas should not dominate the streetscape and are required to be appropriately screened by vegetation and landscape treatments which are complementary to the character of the area. In this regard the following will also apply: a minimum of 40% of the front yard of interior lots, will be landscaped open space. Landscaped open space may include pedestrian walkways but shall not include paved parking areas; corner lots will provide a total minimum landscaped

RESPONDENT	ISSUE	COMMENTS	RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DRAFT MINEOLA DISTRICT POLICIES FOR SPECIAL SITE 2
			 open space area of 40% of the lot; a landscape buffer ranging from 4.5 m (14.8 ft) to 7.5 m (24.6 ft) in depth will be provided along the Hurontario Street frontage; a minimum landscape buffer of 4.5 m (14.8 ft) in depth will be provided along side street frontages adjacent to parking areas; and i. New or modified office or residential-office buildings will not exceed: 420 m² (4, 520 sq. ft.) Gross Floor Area (GFA); a maximum lot coverage of 25%; a maximum building height of two storeys and a 10.7 m (35.1 ft.) mean height level between the eaves and the ridge of a sloped roof or 7.5 m (24.6 ft.) to the highest point of the surface of a flat roof; j. The following yards are required for office or residential-office buildings:: minimum 1.8 m (5.9 ft.) side yard for a one-storey building; minimum 7.5 m (24.6 ft.) rear yard; maximum front yard equivalent to 50% of the lot depth. (see Appendix 4 – Revised Draft Official Plan Amendment 62, Special Site 2- Mineoutal

RESPONDENT	ISSUE	COMMENTS		RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DRAFT INEOLA DISTRICT POLICIES FOR SPECIAL SITE 2
Hurontario Ratepayers Association Credit Reserve Association	Installation of Traffic Lights at Pinewood Trail and Hurontario Street	The HRA indicated that consideration should be given to the installation of traffic lights at Pinewood Trail and Hurontario Street. Adequate measures to ensure the safety of pedestrians in light of future development along Hurontario Street. The CRA has not been in favour of signalizing this intersection because of concerns about traffic cutting through the Mineola community. However, they would be prepared to accept this if public safety became	15.	Response:As part of the QEW interchange, the Ontario Ministry of Transportation will be installing new signals at the realignment of Pinetree Way and Hurontario Street. The Transportation and Works Department recommends that the need for the installation of lights at Pinewood Trail and Hurontario Street be monitored until all warrants are met.Recommendation No. 15: No action required.
Credit Reserve Association Hurontario Ratepayers Association	Introduction of a Continuous Left- Turn Lane on Hurontario Street	an overriding concern. A continuous centre turn lane should be created along Hurontario Street, from South Service Road to Mineola Road to facilitate access to the Special Site 2 properties. Consideration should be given to using an interlocking stone finish for the centre lane similar to Indian Road to discourage driving in this lane.	16.	Response: The Transportation and Works Department has advised that resident ratepayer groups were consulted regarding left-turn lane locations prior to the previous reconstruction of Hurontario Street. The continuation of the left-turn lane will be considered as part of future road rehabilitation of Hurontario Street. Recommendation No. 16:
Christopher Stevens	Driveway Access for Corner Lots	Corner lots should not be allowed to have business parking access from the applicable residential (collector) road and access should be limited to Hurontario Street.	17.	No action required. Response: This issue was addressed by OPA 25 to Mississauga Plan. The current Mineola District Policies for the Special Site 2 area state that for developments located at intersections, access to the minor streets will be discouraged, and where technically feasible, access will be permitted on Hurontario Street. Recommendation No. 17: No action required.

RESPONDENT	ISSUE	COMMENTS		RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DRAFT INEOLA DISTRICT POLICIES FOR SPECIAL SITE 2
Hurontario Ratepayers Association	Need for Comprehensive Traffic and Land Use Studies	A more comprehensive transportation and land use review should be conducted in light of major developments located to the south of the Special Site 2 area, proposed roadway changes for Stavebank Road and the proposed interchange to the north of the subject lands.	18.	Response:Traffic studies in support of rezoning applications in Special Site2 are required to take into consideration development proposedsouth of the CNR tracks in Port Credit.The Hurontario Street Higher-Order Transit Feasibility Studycurrently underway will provide a more comprehensiveexamination of transportation and land use issues along the entireHurontario Street corridor.Recommendation No. 18:No action required.

Comparison of Original and Revised Version of OPA 62 to Mississauga Plan – Special Site 2 Mineola District Policies (February 2007)

Official Plan Amendment No. 62	Revised Official Plan Amendment No. 62			
Section 4.24.7.3 of Mississauga Plan	Section 4.24.7.3 of Mississauga Plan			
Notwithstanding the provisions of the Residential Low Density I designation, the following additional policies will apply:	Notwithstanding the provisions of the Residential Low Density I designation, the following additional policies will apply:			
a. The following uses will also be permitted:	a. The following uses will also be permitted:			
 offices (Revise to comply with OPA 25 and Zoning By-law 0225-2007) detached dwellings in combination with office uses or home occupations a maximum of four apartment units a maximum of three apartment units in combination with office uses retail commercial uses will not be permitted (Renumber as subsection b.) all buildings, including signage, whether new or modified, will have a residential appearance which is compatible with the form, design, and scale of the surrounding residential area. (Renumber as subsection c.) the use must be of a nature and intensity that results in a low parking demand and which does not negatively impact the function of Hurontario Street nor abutting local roads. In this regard, applicants for rezoning will be required to demonstrate, through the submission of traffic and parking impact studies and business operation information, that the proposed use is suitable for its location. (Renumber as subsection d.) 	 offices, provided that medical offices are used for the consultation, examination or therapeutical treatment by a physician, dentist, drugless practitioner or health professional licensed by the Province of Ontario. Medical offices may not include hospitals or other accessory medical uses such as, laboratories, diagnostic facilities for medical and dental purposes, a drug and optical dispensary, nor a medical supply and equipment store; a detached dwelling containing a maximum of one dwelling unit in combination with office uses. retail commercial uses, which include personal service uses, will not be permitted as a primary or as an accessory use. all buildings used for office or residential- office purposes, whether new or modified, will have a residential appearance which is compatible with the form, design, and scale of the surrounding residential area. All 			
d. all required office related parking will be accommodated at grade, primarily within the front and side yards and with a minimal loss of vegetation. Alternative parking locations may be considered for apartments, and to address tree preservation issues, traffic safety matters or conflicts with underground utilities.(Renumber as subsection e.)	 the surrounding residential area. All development will be designed so that it does not negatively impact abutting properties used for residential purposes, in terms of light, privacy, noise and rear yard amenity. the use must be of a nature and intensity that results in a parking demand which does not negatively impact the function of Hurontario 			

In exceptional circumstances, office parking may be considered in the rear yard provided there is no detrimental impact to the abutting residential properties. In this regard the applicant will be required to demonstrate that the following criteria have been addressed: appropriate landscaped screening of the parking area, overlook conditions and compatibility with adjacent rear yards in terms of grading, drainage, noise and lighting impacts

- e. the Hurontario Street and side street frontages are required to be enhanced with extensive landscaping to visually screen the street. Onsite surface parking areas are not permitted to dominate the streetscape and must be suitably screened with a landscaped buffer of a sufficient size and configuration to sustain plants and trees. (Replace with revised subsection h.)
- f. the maximum permitted gross floor area for new or modified buildings will be 190 m² (2,045 sq. ft.) plus 20% of the lot area. The maximum permitted gross floor area for a medical office or a real estate office in a new or modified building is 250 m² (2,691 sq. ft.). No building will exceed a total gross floor area of 420 m² (4, 520 sq. ft
- g. the maximum lot coverage will not exceed 25% of the lot area and the maximum building height will not exceed two storeys. (Replace with new subsections i. and j.)

Subsections f. and g. which pertain to site specific approvals for gross floor area in excess of 420 m^2 (4,520 sq. ft.) are proposed to be renumbered h. and i.

Street nor abutting local roads. In this regard, applicants for rezoning will be required to demonstrate, through the submission of traffic and parking impact studies and business operation information, that the proposed use is suitable for its location. Developments which result in a reduced parking demand are preferred.

- e. all office-related parking will be accommodated within the front and side yards only with a minimal loss of vegetation or in underground facilities. Rear yard parking, with the exception of parking for residential dwellings, is not permitted. Surface parking areas are permitted to be constructed of permeable materials in order to achieve sustainable and urban design objectives and reduce impacts on drainage and grading systems. The portion of the access driveway within the road allowance is to be constructed of hard surface pavement.
- f. where appropriate, mutual driveway access will be permitted between abutting property owners provided this arrangement is registered on title;
- g. for developments located at intersections, access to the minor streets will be discouraged, and where technically feasible, access will be permitted on Hurontario Street;
- h. street frontages are required to be enhanced with landscaping to reflect Hurontario Street's role as a gateway to the Mineola and Port Credit Districts. On-site parking areas should not dominate the streetscape and are required to be appropriately screened by vegetation and landscape treatments which are complementary to the character of the area. In this regard the following will also apply:
 - a minimum of 40% of the front yard of interior lots, will be landscaped open space. Landscaped open space may include pedestrian walkways but will exclude paved parking areas;
 - corner lots will provide a total minimum landscaped open space area of 40% of the lot;

 a landscape buffer ranging from 4.5 m (14.8 ft.) to 7.5 m (24.6 ft) in depth will be provided along the Hurontario Street frontage; and a minimum landscape buffer of 4.5 m (14.8 ft.) in depth will be provided along side street frontages adjacent to parking areas.
<i>i.</i> New or modified office or residential-office buildings will not exceed:
 420 m² (4, 520 sq. ft) Gross Floor Area (GFA); a maximum lot coverage of 25%; a maximum building height of two storeys and a 10.7 m (35.1 ft.) mean height level between the eaves and the ridge of a sloped roof or 7.5 m (24.6 ft.) to the highest point of the surface of a flat roof.
j. The following yards are required for office or residential-office buildings:
 minimum 1.8 m (5.9 ft) side yard for a one-storey building; minimum 2.4 m (7.9 ft.) side yard for a two-storey building; minimum 7.5 m (24.6 ft.) rear yard; maximum front yard equivalent to 50% of the lot depth.

INDIAN VALLEY PINE WOOD TRAIL TRAIL B DR \square REET AVONBRIDGE AVENUE L YROOD SON. PL MIL ONTAR MINEOLA MINEOLA RD. E. RD.W. HUR RIVER AD COTTON DRIVE OLD -

The lands identified as Special Site 2 are the lots which front onto the east and west sides of Hurontario Street, south of the Queen Elizabeth Way.

Notwithstanding the provisions of the Residential Low Density I designation, the following additional policies will apply:

- a. The following uses will also be permitted:
 - offices, provided that medical offices are used for the consultation, examination or therapeutical treatment by a physician, dentist, drugless practitioner or health professional licensed by the Province of Ontario. Medical offices may not include hospitals or other accessory medical uses such as, laboratories, diagnostic facilities for medical and dental purposes, a drug and optical dispensary, nor a medical supply and equipment store;

- a detached dwelling containing a maximum of one dwelling unit in combination with office uses
- **b.** retail commercial uses, which include personal service uses, will not be permitted as a primary or as an accessory use.
- **c.** all buildings used for office or residential-office purposes, whether new or modified, will have a residential appearance which is compatible with the form, design, and scale of the surrounding residential area. All development will be designed so that it does not negatively impact abutting properties used for residential purposes, in terms of light, privacy, noise and rear yard amenity.
- **d.** the use must be of a nature and intensity that results in a parking demand which does not negatively impact the function of Hurontario Street nor abutting local roads. In this regard, applicants for rezoning will be required to demonstrate, through the submission of traffic studies, parking justification studies and business operation information, that the proposed use is suitable for its location. Developments which result in a reduced parking demand are preferred.
- e. all office-related parking will be accommodated within the front and side yards only with a minimal loss of vegetation or in underground facilities. Rear yard parking, with the exception of parking for residential dwellings, is not permitted. Surface parking areas are permitted to be constructed of permeable materials in order to achieve sustainable and urban design objectives and reduce impacts on drainage and grading systems. The portion of the access driveway within the road allowance is to be constructed of hard surface pavement.
- **f.** where appropriate, mutual driveway access will be permitted between abutting property owners provided this arrangement is registered on title.
- **g.** for developments located at intersections, access to the minor streets will be discouraged, and where technically feasible, access will be permitted on Hurontario Street.
- h. street frontages are required to be enhanced with landscaping to reflect Hurontario Street's role as a gateway to the Mineola and Port Credit Districts. On-site parking areas should not dominate the streetscape and are

Revised Draft Official Plan Amendment 62, Special Site 2 -Mineola District Policies

required to be appropriately screened by vegetation and landscape treatments which are complementary to the character of the area. In this regard the following will also apply:

- a minimum of 40% of the front yard of interior lots, will be landscaped open space. Landscaped open space may include pedestrian walkways but will exclude paved parking areas;
- corner lots will provide a total minimum landscaped open space area of 40% of the lot;
- a landscape buffer ranging from 4.5 m (14.8 ft) to 7.5 m (24.6 ft) in depth will be provided along the Hurontario Street frontage and;
- a minimum landscape buffer of 4.5 m (14.8 ft) in depth will be provided along side street frontages adjacent to parking areas.
- i. New or modified office or residentialoffice buildings will not exceed:
 - 420 m² (4,520 sq. ft.) Gross Floor Area (GFA);
 - a maximum lot coverage of 25%;
 - a maximum building height of two storeys and a 10.7 m (35.1 ft.) mean height level between the eaves and the ridge of a sloped roof or 7.5 m (24.6 ft.) to the highest point of the surface of a flat roof.
- **j**. The following yards are required for office or residential-office buildings:
 - minimum 1.8 m (5.9 ft.) side yard for a one-storey building;
 - minimum 2.4 m (7.9 ft.) side yard for a two-storey building;
 - minimum 7.5 m (24.6 ft.) rear yard;
 - maximum front yard equivalent to 50% of the lot depth.

4.24.7.3.1 Area 2A

Notwithstanding subsection i. of this section, for the lands identified as Area 2A, the existing building at 1523 Hurontario Street will not exceed 585 m^2 (6,297 sq. ft.) Gross Floor Area (GFA).

4.24.7.3.2 Area 2B

Notwithstanding subsection i. of this section, for the lands identified as Area 2B, an office building at 1443 Hurontario Street will not exceed 552 m² (5,942 sq. ft.) Gross Floor Area (GFA).

4.24.7.3.3 Area 2C

Notwithstanding subsection i. of this section, for the lands identified as Area 2C, an office building at 1370 Hurontario Street will not exceed 465 m² (5,005 sq. ft.) Gross Floor Area (GFA).

4.24.7.3.4 Area 2D

Notwithstanding subsection i. of this section, for the lands identified as Area 2D, for the building at 1424 Hurontario Street, the maximum Gross Floor Area (GFA) will not exceed 460 m² (4,952 sq. ft.) and the maximum Gross Floor Area (GFA) used for an office will not exceed (250) m² (2,691 sq. ft.).