Clerk's Files





 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Originator's} \\ {\rm Files} \quad OZ \; 05/045 \; W7 \end{array}$

DATE:	June 6, 2006		
TO:	Chair and Members of Planning and Development Committee Meeting Date: June 26, 2006		
FROM:	Edward R. Sajecki Commissioner of Planning and Building		
SUBJECT:	Information Report Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Applications To permit six street townhouses 264 Hillcrest Avenue South side of Hillcrest Avenue, west of Parkerhill Road Owner: Royal Vista Homes (Hillcrest) Limited Applicant: Humphries Planning Group Bill 20		
	Public Meeting Ward 7		
RECOMMENDATION:	That the Report dated June 6, 2006, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building regarding the application to amend the Official Plan from "Residential - Low Density I" to "Residential - Low Density II" and to change the Zoning from "R4" (Residential) to "RM5 – Special Section" (Residential) to permit six street townhouses under file OZ 05/045 W7, Royal Vista Homes (Hillcrest) Limited, 264 Hillcrest Avenue, be received for information.		
BACKGROUND:	Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning applications have been filed to permit six street townhouses. The purpose of this report is to provide preliminary information on the above-noted applications and to seek comments from the community.		

COMMENTS:

Details of the proposal are as follows:

Development Proposal			
Complete			
Application	January 18, 2006		
submitted:			
Number of	Six street townhouses		
units:			
Density:	31.6 units/ha (12.8 units/ac.)		
Gross Floor	$1 894 \text{ m}^2$ (20,388 sq. ft.)		
Area:	1 094 III (20,388 Sq. II.)		
Height:	3 storeys: 10.83 m (35.53 ft.)		
Landscaped	46 %		
Area:			
Anticipated	18*		
Population:			
Parking	3.25 spaces per dwelling in accordance		
Required:	with Council resolution CPD 121-91		
Parking	1 parking space in the garage and 2		
Provided:	tandem driveway spaces per dwelling.		
	The applicant has requested relief from		
	the on-street parking requirement of 0.2		
	spaces per unit		
Supporting	Proposed Standards and Planning		
Documents:	Justification Report		
	Municipal Servicing Design Brief		

*Average household sizes for all units (by type) for the year 2011 (city average) based on the 2005 Growth Forecasts for the City of Mississauga.

Site Characteristics			
Frontage:	41.9 m (137.5 ft.) on Hillcrest Avenue		
Depth:	45.79 m (150.2 ft.)		
Lot Area:	0.19 ha (0.47 ac.)		
Existing Use:	Vacant land		

Additional information is provided in Appendices I-1 to I-8.

Neighbourhood Context

The subject property is vacant and located within a residential community containing a broad range of housing types including detached, semi-detached and townhouse dwellings. The surrounding land uses are described as follows:

North:Detached dwellingsEast:Detached dwellingsSouth:Semi-detached dwellingsWest:Townhouse dwellings

Current Mississauga Plan Designation and Policies for the Cooksville District (February 26, 2003)

"Residential Low Density I" which permits detached, semidetached and duplex dwellings to a maximum density of 17 units per hectare (6.9 units per acre). The applications are not in conformity with the current land use designation since street townhouses are not a permitted use, and the proposed density exceeds the maximum specified for this land use designation.

Criteria for Site Specific Official Plan Amendments

Section 5.3.2 of Mississauga Plan contains criteria which requires an applicant to submit satisfactory planning reports to demonstrate the rationale for the proposed amendment as follows:

- the proposal would not adversely impact or destabilize the following: the overall intent, goals and objectives of the Official Plan; and the development and functioning of the remaining lands which have the same designation, or neighbouring lands;
- the proposed land use is suitable for the proposed uses, and compatible with existing and future uses of surrounding lands;
- there is adequate infrastructure and community services to support the proposed development.

Proposed Official Plan Designation and Policies

"Residential Low Density II", which allows street townhouses within a net density range of 18-50 units per net residential hectare (7.3 - 20.2 units per acre). The proposed street townhouses would have a density of 31.6 units per hectare (12.8 units per acre).

Existing Zoning

"R4" (Residential), which permits primarily detached dwellings on lots with a minimum frontage of 15 m (49.2 ft.) and a minimum lot area of 550 m² (5,920.3 sq. ft.).

Proposed Zoning By-law Amendment

"**RM5** – **Special Section**" (**Residential**), to permit six street townhouses. As part of the rezoning, the applicant is proposing that the following standards be applied:

	Required Zoning	Proposed Standard	
	By-law Standard		
Minimum	6.85 m (22.5 ft.)	6.2 m (20.3 ft.)	
Lot Frontage			
Maximum	0.75 FSI	0.87 FSI	
Gross Floor			
Area per Lot			
Minimum	1.5 m (4.9 ft.)	2 m (6.6 ft.)	
Side Yard			
Minimum	6 m (19.7 ft.)	12 m (39.3 ft.)	
Setback to			
Garage			
Maximum	10.7 m (35.1 ft.)	10.83 m (35.53 ft.)	
Height			

In addition to the zoning requested above, the applicant has requested relief from Council resolution CPD 121-91 which requires 3 on-site parking spaces per unit and 0.25 visitor parking spaces per unit for all street related units with frontages less than 12 m (39.4 ft.). On-street visitor parking is not permitted on Hillcrest Avenue, therefore the applicant is requesting a sitespecific parking standard of 1 parking space in the garage and 2 tandem parking spaces on the driveway.

Draft Mississauga Zoning By-law

Arrangements are being made to finalize the new Mississauga Zoning By-law, which will result in the renaming of existing zone categories within the Cooksville Planning District and zone categories proposed for the subject lands.

In this particular instance, the proposed "RM5 – Special Section" (Residential) is being renamed "RM5 – Exception" (Residential Street Townhouse) in the new Mississauga Zoning By-law.

The timing of the site specific Zoning By-law to permit the proposed development is such that it may be affected by the passage of the new Mississauga Zoning By-law and potential appeals. A provision will be included in the supplementary report to address the new Mississauga Zoning By-law.

COMMUNITY ISSUES

No community meetings were held and no written comments were received by the Planning and Building Department.

DEVELOPMENT ISSUES

Agency comments are summarized in Appendix I-1 and school accommodation information is contained in Appendix I-2.

Appendix I-6 illustrates the proposed concept plan. Rear yard access for yard maintenance for the interior units will be accommodated by a shared easement along the side and rear yards. A warning clause will be required to inform potential owners of this arrangement. Appendix I-7 illustrates the proposed elevations. The Supplementary Report will evaluate the compatibility of the proposal with the adjacent detached dwellings.

OTHER INFORMATION

Development Requirements

	In conjunction with the proposed development, there are certain other engineering matters with respect to site servicing, which will require the applicant to enter into appropriate agreements with the City.
FINANCIAL IMPACT:	Development charges will be payable in keeping with the requirements of the applicable Development Charges By-law of the City, as well as financial requirements of any other official agency concerned with the development of the lands.
CONCLUSION:	All agency and City department comments have been received and after the public meeting has been held and all issues are resolved, the Planning and Building Department will be in a position to make a recommendation regarding these applications.
ATTACHMENTS:	Appendix I-1- Excerpt of Cooksville District Land Use Map Appendix I-2 - Excerpt of Existing Land Use Map Appendix I-3 - Aerial Photograph Appendix I-4 - Concept Plan Appendix I-5 - Proposed Elevations Appendix I-6 - Department and Agency Comments Appendix I-7- School Accommodation Appendix I-8 - General Context Map

Edward R. Sajecki Commissioner of Planning and Building

Prepared By: Michael Crechiolo, Development Planner





DESIGN FILE





APPENDIX I-4

APPENDIX I-5 Page 1



APPENDIX I-5

Page 2



Humphries Planning Group

File: OZ 05/045 W7

Department and Agency Comments

The following is a summary of comments from agencies and departments regarding the application.

Agency / Comment Date	Comment
Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board (January 27, 2006) and the Peel District School Board (February 3, 2006)	The current provision of educational facilities for the catchment area is satisfactory and, as such, the school accommodation condition as required by City of Mississauga Council Resolution 152-98 pertaining satisfactory arrangements regarding the adequate provision and distribution of educational facilities need not be applied for this development application.
	In addition, if approved, the Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board also requires the applicant to agree in the Servicing Agreement to include the following warning clauses in all offers of purchase and sale of residential lots until the permanent school for the area has been completed.
	"Whereas, despite the best efforts of the Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board, sufficient accommodation may not be available for all anticipated students from the area, you are hereby notified that students may be accommodated in temporary facilities and/or bussed to a school outside of the neighbourhood, and further, that students may later be transferred to the neighbourhood school."
	"That the purchasers agree that for the purpose of transportation to school, the residents of the subdivision shall agree that children will meet the bus on roads presently in existence or at another place designated by the Board."
	In addition, if approved, the Peel District School Board also requires the inclusion of the following conditions in the Development and Engineering Agreements:
	"The developer shall agree to erect and maintain signs at the entrances to the subdivision which shall advise prospective purchasers that due to present school facilities, some of the children in the subdivision may have to be accommodated in temporary facilities or bused to schools, according to the Board's Transportation Policy."

Humphries Planning Group

File: OZ 05/045 W7

Agency / Comment Date	Comment		
Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board (January 27, 2006) and the Peel District School Board (February 3, 2006) (continued)	In addition, the Board requires that the following clause be placed in any agreement of purchase and sale entered into with respect to any lots on this plan, within a period of five years from the date of registration of the subdivision agreement: "Whereas, despite the efforts of the Peel District School Board, sufficient accommodation may not be available for all anticipated students in the neighbourhood schools, you are hereby notified that some students may be accommodated in temporary facilities or bused to schools outside of the area, according to the Board's Transportation Policy. You are advised to contact the School Accommodation department of the Peel District School Board to determine the exact schools."		
City Community Services Department – Planning and Administration Division (January 26, 2006 and February 6, 2006)	Payment for street tree planting and trail signage on Hillcrest Ave. will be required prior to by-law enactment. Further, prior to the issuance of building permits for each lot, cash-in-lieu for park or other public recreational purposes is required pursuant to Section 42 of the <i>Planning Act</i> R.S.O.1990, c.P.13, as amended, and in accordance with the City's Polices and By- laws. The park provision for the subject lands are satisfied by three community parks, all located approximately 300 m (984 ft.) from the site (P#263, P#380, P#416). An archaeological assessment is required because the site is within 300 m (984 ft.) of Mary Fix Creek.		
City Transportation and Works Department (March 3, 2006)	Prior to the Supplementary Report proceeding to Council, the applicant is to provide additional details related to the servicing design brief and grading plan. In addition, a Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment accompanied by a letter of reliance is to be submitted to this department for review and approval. Detailed comments and conditions will be provided prior to the Supplementary meeting.		
Other City Departments and External Agencies	 The following City Departments and external agencies offered no objection to these applications provided that all technical matters are addressed in a satisfactory manner: Region of Peel City Development Services City Realty Services City Fire Department Enersource Hydro Mississauga Bell Canada 		

Appendix I-6 Page 3

File: OZ 05/045 W7

Humphries Planning Group

Agency / Comment Date	Comment	
Other City Departments and External Agencies (cont'd)	 Canada Post Conseil Scolaire De District Catholique Centre-Sud Enbridge Gas 	
Other City Departments and External Agencies	 The following City Departments and external agencies were circulated the applications but provided no comments: Hydro One Networks Rogers Cable Trillium Health Centre Credit Valley Hospital 	
	 North Cooksville Community Association 	

File: OZ 05/045 W7

School Accommodation

Th	The Peel District School Board			The Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board	
•	• Student Yield:		•	• Student Yield:	
	1 0 1	Kindergarten to Grade 5 Grade 6 to Grade 8 Grade 9 to Grade 12/OAC			Kindergarten to Grade 8 9 to Grade 12/OAC
•	School Accommodation:		•	School Accommodation:	
	Floradale P.S.			Father Daniel Zano	on
	Enrolment: Capacity: Portables:	772 667 4		Enrolment: Capacity: Portables:	540 498 0
	Queen Elizabeth Sr.			Father Michael Goetz	
	Enrolment: Capacity: Portables:	366 268 4		Enrolment: Capacity: Portables:	1915 1593 0
	Port Credit S.S.				
Ed caj	Enrolment:1,034Capacity:1,179Portables:0* Note:Capacity reflects the Ministry ofEducation rated capacity, not the Board ratedcapacity, resulting in the requirement ofportables.				

